

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 29 May 1957	2. LOCATION Houma, Louisiana		12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 29/2103Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar		<input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	6. SOURCE Military		<input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 14 minutes	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one	9. COURSE ESE-SE-E-NE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Anamolous prop.</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING One object seen on ground radar for 14 minutes.		11. COMMENTS Radar analysis indicates anamolous propogation as most likely cause.	

Multi 29/2/30 =

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (If any)

OT 11-95  
27  
290557-07

# DISPOSITION FORM

FILE NO.	SUBJECT (U) Request for UFO Report Analysis
TO AFOIN-4E1	FROM AFOIN-4E4
	DATE 10 June 57
	COMMENT NO. 1
	4E4/Capt G.T.Gregory/wm 69216

1. In accordance with established ATIC policies for the analysis of UFO reports, request your review and analysis regarding the attached report of a radar UFO.

2. Subject sighting will be tentatively placed in an "unknown" category, pending your written comments or conclusions.

If inclosure... Is withdrawn (or not attached), the classification of this correspondence will be downgraded to Secret in accordance with per 37% AFR205-1.

1 Incl  
CY of Msg (T57-15274-3)

*Henry H. Miley*  
HENRY H. MILEY  
AFOIN-4E4

T57-15274-A

AC

PARAPHRASE NOT REQUIRED EXCEPT PRIOR TO  
CATEGORY IV. ALL INFORMATION SHOULD BE REMOVED  
ALL INTERNAL REFERENCES BY DATE-TIME GROUP  
PRIOR TO DECLASSIFICATION. NO UNCLASSIFIED  
REFERENCE IF THE DATE-TIME GROUP IS QUOTED

NR12133 04 JUNE 57

AC PRIORITY

FM COMDR 657 ACVRON HOUNA LA 040013Z 4 JUN 57 14 41  
TO COMDR AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER USAF OHIO  
COMDR ALC ENT AFB COLO  
COMDR DIR OF INTELLIGENCE HQ USAF WASHDC  
COMDR 35 ADIV  
INFO COMDR EADF

1  
2 } 4E4  
3 }  
44x20  
EWS

[REDACTED] /CSO 76. OPS 004E. PASSED BY CRYPTO 35 ADIV. ATTN: EADF OIC.  
[REDACTED] THE FOLLOWING UFOB REPORT IS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARA  
7 APR 238-2 DATED 12 AUGUST 1954. 7E(1)(A) NORMAL RADAR RETURN (B)  
NORMAL RADAR RETURN (C) NORMAL RADAR RETURN (D)(1)(2) N/A (F) NONE  
(G) N/A (H) NONE (I) TRACK WAS AVERAGING 2250 KNOTS OCCASSIONALLY  
3500 KNOTS (2)(A) TTY DIRECTOR PICKED IT UP ON HIS SCOPE (B) WHEN  
2925 DID NOT APPEAR ON THE MRI BUT WAS ESTIMATED TO BE AT 135,000 FEET  
(C) GHEP0330 ALTITUDE ESTIMATED AT 135,000 FEET (D) EAST SOUTHWEST  
AND THEN SOUTHWEST AND THEN EAST AND THEN NORTHEAST (E) FADED FROM RADAR  
(F) 14 MINUTES (3)(A) GROUND ELECTRONIC AN/APS-7 (B) NONE (C) N/A

PAGE TWO

(4) 29 MAY 2103Z (D) DAY (5) 29 DEGREES 40 MINUTES NORTH-93 DEGREES  
35 MINUTES WEST (6)(A) NONE (B) HERMAN H ZWINGER MAJOR 725 ACVRON  
WALNUT RIDGE ARKANSAS TTY DIRECTOR MERRIN E HEDDIN 1/LT 739 ACVRON  
FLORENCE AF STATION OMAHA NEBRASKA ROY V ARNET CAPTAIN 796 ACVRON  
BARTLESVILLE OKLAHOMA TTY DIRECTOR ROBERT C SCHENKLEBERG STAFF SGT  
337 ACVRON HOUNA LA CREW CHIEF ALLEN M BROWN A/1C 557 ACVRON HOUNA  
LA AIR SURVEILLANCE SUPERVISOR JAMES L YOUNG A/2C 557 ACVRON HOUNA LA  
RADAR OPERATOR ALL THE AIRMEN ARE VERY RELIABLE PERSONNEL (7)(A) CLEAR  
(B) 5200-348/1310000-273/10152000-263/3520000-210/1530000-290/3050000-  
320/2530000-NOT AVAILABLE (C) INDEFINITE (D) CLEAR (E) NONE (F) NONE  
(8) NONE (9) NONE (10) NONE (11) DIRECTION CENTER CHIEF. POSSIBLE  
INTERFERENCE FROM ADJACENT RADAR STATIONS COULD BE THE CAUSE OF THE  
TRACK IN QUESTION. ONE OF THE REASONS FOR THIS DEDUCTION IS THAT THE  
RADAR RETURN DID NOT SHOW A FUZZY TRAIL SUCH AS A MOVING TARGET  
NORMALLY DOES. ANOTHER REASON IS THAT THE RADAR RETURN SEEMED TO BE  
TILTED APPROXIMATELY 15 DEGREES FROM NORMAL. (12) A FEW FRAMES OF SCOPE  
FILM WERE TAKEN. THE FILM HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPPORT BASE FOR  
PROCESSING. THE FILM SHOULD BE AVAILABLE WITHIN THE NEXT 24 HOURS  
IF IT IS NEEDED.  
04/1213Z JUNE

757-15274-1