PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2. LOCATION		12.	CONCLUSIONS
28 Jul 59	Corpus Christi	, Texas	0 1	Was Balloon Probably Balloon
3. DATE-TIME GROUP	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATIO	N		Possibly Balloon
CMT_not_stated	D Ground-Visual	□ Ground-Radar □ Air-Intercept Radar	0 1	Was Aircraft Probably Aircraft Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS Ŭ Yes □ No	6. SOURCE Civilian		0	Was Astronomical VEULS Probably Astronomical Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION not given	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS	9. COURSE	-	Other Insufficient Data for Evaluation Unknown
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SICHTING Dr Hynek was at Pasad films of purported UF witness in Corpus Chr photographing Venus. was real & appeared o Possible light on a/c Dr Hynek. See Film FICM IN SPECIM	Case carried	as	ed for analysis. insufficient data	

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY

June 28, 1960

Major Robert Friend Air Technical Intelligence Center Aerial Phenomena Section Wrig t-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio

Dear Major:

This note is to let you know I am happily ensconced here in Boulder and to bring a few points to your attention.

First, what is my contract number? I am afraid I left that information in Cambridge, and I will need it when I aend in my next voucher.

BENN (ASE) I recently had a very interesting trip to Pasadena, and while there, by utter chance, I ran into the publisher of the now defunct magazine, 'Saucers'. He is a young student and oddly enough a close friend of a graduate student in astronomy at Harvard. (Don't tell Menzel!) They showed me some movies of purported UFOs, one being the incident of December 1, 1957, and another being of July 20, 1959. The first one seems like balloons to me, but the second I am not sure of. The second shows very fine color movies of Venus in the daytime, and for this reason alone, I think they are worth while. Do you have this case July 28, 1959, Corous Christie, Texas? I enclose one enlargement. The image is real, as it appears on frame after frame, but it might very well be some light on the fusilage of a plane.

I have recently been presented with a publication by our friends in Akron and Cleveland. It is entitled Electro-Magnetic Effects Associated With Unidentified Flying Objects, and it is quite well prepared and presented in a non sensational manner. I am afraid we are going to hear more about this. If by some chance you have not received a copy, I will send you mine for toasting.

Have the stereos of the Louisiana case come in yet?

* I obtained the fellow.

This case contains

1, 3"x5" photo and 1, 3"x5"
negative.

Film

July 28, 1955

Corpus CHRISK, TEXAS

OBSERVER: UNIDENTIFIED

FILE IT 1003535

IN CUSTAGO OF AUDIO-VISUAL DIVISION

RESEARCH INVESTIGATION ANALYSIS

INTERPLANETARY INTELLIGENCE UNIDENTIFIED

FLYING

OBJECTS

H. C. HEWES Associate Director J. MANEY

W. F. RIEFER Director

Deputy Director

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.

December 26, 1964

Major Hector Wuintanilla, Jr. FTD (TREW) Box 9494 Wright-Patterson AFN, Ohio

Dear Major Quintanilla:

Thank you for your two most recent letters in answer to my request. I hope your Christmas was a happy one.



I still have not heard from Will letv you know as soon as I do. Enclosed is the copy that was made from the 16 mm movie that May sent me. Please return as soon as possible because I will need it on January 12. Imam givin, a lecture to abo t 75 sorority members (and husbands) (Free, all I will jet is may be some members) I thought you might like to see the film for yourself.

On November 9, I sent you several frament believed to be a meteorite that I received from the Lirkpatric Planetarium along with what information I had. Did you send the fragment to Dr. et NASA, or to Dr. 2 Dr. has the originial 23 pound part and I forwarded several fragments to Dr . In your letter o 11 December you su ested that you can analyze any specimens I may forward. I do not understand one of youe sentences. "I also sugested that samples of these fra ments, as there is a possibility that they are meteorites or tekites to the Planetarium stated that he believed the fra, ments to be meteorites or tektites. Leteorites has almost been eliminated and the cain belief is tekites, but in a letter from Dr. dated December 18 he stated the framents appears to be of ordinary scoriaceous basalt rock., and not to release any information on it.

I am looking forward to the new Fact Sheet.

Would it be possible topbtain a copy of the letter dated September 23, 1047 and the list of 00 active or anizations? FTD (TDEW)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433
18 January 1965

Associate Director
IIOUFO
School Scho

Dear Tong

This is in reply to your letter of 26 December 1964. We received the motion picture. It was forwarded to Chicago, Illinois for duplication by Kodak and returned to us. The original film was sent to you, airmail, registered, special delivery on the 11th of January under Register Number 664380. We do hope that it arrived in time for your lecture on the 12th. The images on the film depicts clouds, a contrail and the planet Venus. In an independent evaluation of the movie the object was determined to be the planet Venus. Doctor has also evaluated the movie and he has determined the image to be the planet Venus. We appreciate your forwarding this film for our evaluation.

Regarding the fragments which you sent us on 9 November 1964. This fragment was forwarded and remained in the Post Office for approximately six weeks. There was an amount of postage due and we did not receive this object in our shop until the 23rd of December. We submitted the fragment to ASD for analysis and the Bowser-Morner Testing Laboratories, Incorporation conducted the spectrographic Semi-Quantative Analysis. The object was given preliminary tests and determined to have no space residue. The rock appears to be common to that portion of Oklahoma. A copy of the lab report is attached. Perhaps these events will clarify the statements in our letter of 11 December 1964 to you. No contact has been made with Doctor King or Doctor Hynek on this particular object. I would like to point out that meteor observations of this nature would be reported by many more observors and that should the object be a meteorite, the absence of sound would indicate that the impact point would be in excess of 300 miles from the point of observation.

The Fact Sheet is in the process of being printed. A courtesy copy will be forwarded to you upon completion. We are enclosing the statistics which will be included as attachments to the basic information.

We are attaching a list of the UFO organizations and hobby clubs. We would appreciate any additions of which you are aware and/or comments regarding discontinuations of those no longer active.

Please feel free to publish any information regarding evaluations such as the Pocus City sighting. Information regarding evaluations by the Air Force is always available to individuals and the press. It is good public relations practice for evaluations to appear in the newspaper. This does much to clarify reports and to eliminate doubt in the minds of some readers. The information supplied to you by our office regarding personal opinions and policy and data of this nature is for your information only, since all information regarding policy must be released through the Air Force rather than in the form of a personal letter.

Your six page article is being returned with a few comments by Sgt Moody. These comments are for your information and/or guidance in future articles. The following comments or points of information appear pertinent.

- (1) The Air Force accepts only scientific fact regarding life and intelligence on other planets and does not engage in speculation.
- (2) Information from almost 9,000 cases indicates that unidentified flying objects exist as reports of aircraft, balloons, stars, planets, missiles and a multitude of known conventional objects and phenomena. There has been no indication that these objects are from outer space under intelligent control. The letter of 23 Sep 47 did not state that UFOs are real, it requested an investigation to determine IF they were real and all official conclusions and all information to date indicates that given sufficient information a valid explanation for the cause of each report could be made.
- (3) We would appreciate a statement of the information in your files which indicates that they are objects of interplanetary origin.

Sincerely,

HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr

Major, USAF Chief, Project Blue Book 5 Atchs

1. Analysis of Fragments

2. Statistics

3. Bibliography

4. List of Organizations

5. Six page article & comments

File 6449

July 28, 1959

Corpus Christi, Texas

1 photo

