

## Supplement - Strange Things Associated With Some "10:25 Alarms

1 message

fran ridge &lt;franridge42@gmail.com&gt;

Tue, Jun 6, 2023 at 9:09 AM

To: fran ridge &lt;skyking42@gmx.com&gt;

If you have been reading the Training Series we refer to as MADAR 101 you have heard about or know about a "10:25 ALARM". During the first four years of the new Project, MADAR-III, we were getting about 100-150 "hits" or potential anomalies every week. By the time we subtracted all the "alibis" and known false alarms, the 3 or more hits that were suspected of being false, and the "10:25's", we ended up with 10-15 processed anomalies that we put up on the MADAR site for MADAR ops to check against sightings in the area. And yes, we subtracted the "10:25's" because "they just had to be some type of systemic error". "UAP wouldn't do something like that, have a schedule of activities at 10:25 UTC" (Universal Time Code). We were getting 4-6 of them every week! Had to be some type of software error? And when there was a time change it became a "11:25 alarm"! So, we pulled them out and did not list anomalies that were recorded at those times. That's 832 to 1248 "hits" we didn't bother checking.

Besides that, at least here at MADAR OPERATIONS, those times are daylight hours. In the beginning, in the heat of an alarm I didn't look at the clock, I ran to get outside and look. It didn't take me long to be frustrated at the glaring sun and UAP-less sky. And as far as my ReoLink cameras are concerned, the resolution is very good but objects have to be large enough to show up on the screen. A jumbo jet or airbus wouldn't show up unless it was close enough to rattle the Sphinx or by putting out a contrail. BUT, at night it is a different story. Just like your eyes that can catch a strobing light without looking directly at it (in fact when you look at it it disappears) the camera really shines with lighted targets. But not so good with daylight objects. Long story short, daylight alerts require somebody with good eyes and enough time to scan the entire sky and iff there are no obstructions. Or if you're lucky and have on a nice pair of polaroid glasses you might see what Wells Alan Webb saw one day with his Polaroids on, Faraday rings around the UAP!

So what's the big deal? First of all, this last "10:25" began at 10:25:04:51 UTC. The spreadsheet says one thing and hints at something I had missed before. There are 4 datalines that ramped up from one per minute to one per second, but it shows a 46-sec discrepancy between the alertStart and the first alert line (going up). But at a glance we would call this a 4-sec anomalie, and we get a lot of them from 4-9 secs long. The tech support guys might have an answer for all this, but the point is, a "10:25 alarm" looks "normal" instead of having an abnormal "signature" compared to other anomalies if you don't look at the alertStart line. But it gets even more interesting. We found that our very best correlation, a close encounter with a cigar-shaped craft with seven body lights, occurred over Indianapolis right at 10:25 UTC which is 5:25 am, at the same time MADAR went into a full code blue alert! And the very latest investigation status report shows another 10:25 at Orefield, Pennsylvania on December 10th of 2022. Robert Spearing was working on this one, an excellent case, and an unknown, but the witness was playing phone tag with him and nothing could be done with it. Or as far as we know this late date.

[http://www.nicap.org/match/ANALYSES/2022-INVESTIGATION\\_STATUS-By\\_Date.htm](http://www.nicap.org/match/ANALYSES/2022-INVESTIGATION_STATUS-By_Date.htm)

142	alertStat	231.59	9	739.15	30	0.99	2023-05-25	10:25:55.646
142	alertStat	230.44	9	739.15	30	0.99	2023-05-25	10:25:54.625
142	alertStat	232.84	9	739.15	30	0.97	2023-05-25	10:25:53.634
142	alertEnd	232.03	9	739.15	30	0.99	2023-05-25	10:25:53.002
142	alert	232.5	30	739.15	30	0.99	2023-05-25	10:25:52.626
142	alert	231.62	30	739.15	30	0.99	2023-05-25	10:25:51.628
142	alert	231.95	30	739.15	30	0.99	2023-05-25	10:25:50.632
142	alertStart	232.61	30	739.15	30	0.99	2023-05-25	10:25:04.510
142	status	232.61	30	739.15	30	0.99	2023-05-25	10:25:04.510
142	status	231.65	4.5	739.15	30	0.99	2023-05-25	10:24:17.674

Here's a few mins before the DAS sounded and the reoLink camera facing East. Note these cams have mics

so you can hear things such as dogs barking, if that were to occur. Or if crickets STOP chirping!  
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K41T4EF\\_-\\_s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K41T4EF_-_s)

So what we have to do is treat every alert we know WE didn't cause as if it were the real McCoy. And since an anomaly is nothing more than a uncorrelated target (without a visual backup) just hope that the NUFORC sightings and/or MUFON CMS lists a potential sighting of interest that a MUFON UFO officer or state analyst can tie with a code blue or have us check data from a nearby node(s). This is a good time to remind Ops to NOT report a valid anomalie. If it is a false alarm, then you NEED to report it so we can list it as false. Report a correlation, or a false triggering, but if the alarm sounds and you didn't cause it, we know about it already.

What about all the 10:25's ignored the last 4 years? All it takes is a dedicated MUFON MATCH MADAR Analyst who has a list of good cases from his State or bordering states and recognizes their inherent value. If every State "UFO officer" would do this I would bet we could find a MADAR correlation if a MADAR site was nearby.

ENDREP