

fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

Aztec UFO crash story

21 messages

fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

To: nicap-research@googlegroups.com

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 1:39 PM

What is the best source of truth regarding this alleged incident? One source lists March 1948 and another says March 25th, 1948. I know it's a hoax but two sites say there's more to it. ALL I want to do is put up a pdf of a good source and on the chrono. I was embarrassed to see we didn't even have it as a entry for web searchers.

Fran

rb47x@aol.com <rb47x@aol.com>

To: franridge42@gmail.com

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:20 PM

Scully's 1950 book put it at late March 1948. The first to invent a 25th date in March 1948 was William Steinman and Wendelle Stevens in their 1986 book -- almost 40 years after the fact and without a shred of 1948 evidence that any such event occurred. I consider Aztec to be a disinformation misdirection to discredit Roswell.

Brad

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

__

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "NICAP Research" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.

Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com>
To: fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

To: fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>
Cc: nicap-research@googlegroups.com

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:21 PM

This information was obtained from **Amazon Prime Video** about a documentary I watched last night about the Aztec case. Hence my question to your regarding if NICAP had any information on it.

On March 25, 1948 a UFO spacecraft of extraterrestrial origin crashed in a place called Aztec, New Mexico. 16 alien bodies were discovered dead inside. The alien bodies and all evidence of their spacecraft were soon transported by government officials to Wright Patterson Air Force Base where all traces of this event disappeared in secrecy.

Title: UFOTV Presents: Aztec 1948 UFO Crash

Film came out in 2016 Starring:Scott Ramsey Runtime:56 minutes

The investigators on the case seemed to uncover some good information but, it's all hear say because none of the witnesses came forward on record that I could tell. (I was in and out of being sleepy hehe)

Although the information regarding the RADAR sites was confirmed by the investigators. Which had initially been denied of being in operation during the supposed downed craft in 1948. In the documentary they uncover the fact that the RADAR sites went online in 1946 but was not commissioned by the USAF until 1950.

Thanks,

Challon L. Holt

Office: 502-410-3356

On Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:39 PM, fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com> wrote:

What is the best source of truth regarding this alleged incident? One source lists March 1948 and another says March 25th, 1948. I know it's a hoax but two sites say there's more to it. ALL I want to do is put up a pdf of a good source and on the chrono. I was embarrassed to see we didn't even have it as a entry for web searchers.

Fran

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "NICAP Research" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.

fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

To: rb47x@aol.com

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:36 PM

Is there a web page that you think is appropriate so I can make a pdf and place on the chrono? We need something there in case someone wants to check it out.

Fran

[Quoted text hidden]

Dennis Balthaser <truthseeker@dfn.com> To: fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:49 PM

Fran.

I've known Scott and Suzan Ramsey since 1997, been to the Aztec site with them and done lectures with them.

Scott has done the best and most research on Aztec of anyone since it happened in March of 1948, (10 months after Roswell).

They have written 2 books, "The Aztec Incident", and "The Aztec UFO Incident."

Scott can be contacted at scott@expresswireservices.com

He researched archives, interviewed first-hand witnesses for some 20 years before writing the first book. Scott and Suzan live in North Carolina.

I highly respect all the detailed research he has done on Aztec, and after 2 controversial books by other authors years ago, I believe Scott has without a doubt done the best research pertaining to the Aztec Incident. Until I started working with Scott and Suzane 19 years ago I didn't put much confidence in Aztec, but now do because of the research he has done over the years.

Sincerely,

Dennis

www.truthseekeratroswell.com

---- Original Message ----

From: fran ridge

To: nicap-research@googlegroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2016 12:39 PM
Subject: [nicap-research] Aztec UFO crash story

What is the best source of truth regarding this alleged incident? One source lists March 1948 and another says March 25th, 1948. I know it's a hoax but two sites say there's more to it. ALL I want to do is put up a pdf of a good source and on the chrono. I was embarrassed to see we didn't even have it as a entry for web searchers.

Fran

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "NICAP Research" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.

rb47x via NICAP Research <nicap-research@googlegroups.com>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:50 PM

Reply-To: rb47x@aol.com

To: nicap-research@googlegroups.com

Hi Challon,

The radar info is bogus and fraudulent, pack of lies, illogical nonsense (3 radars are not used to "triangulate" anything, only ONE radar pinpoints the site, etc. etc.). Ultimately it goes back to secret data never published or disclosed by a NM state official or ex-official -- how convenient?

Brad

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:55 PM

To: rb47x@aol.com

What needs to be done, then, is to find two sites and post BOTH of them, one pro and one con.

Fran

[Quoted text hidden]

rb47x@aol.com <rb47x@aol.com>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:56 PM

To: franridge42@gmail.com

OFF LIST

Fran,

The only people interested enough to do webpages on Aztec are the Aztec disinformation agents and their flunkie apologists.

Brad

----Original Message----

From: fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

To: rb47x@aol.com

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:58 PM

Probably right, but somebody needs to look at the two books Dennis mentioned and see what they have. Most people would probably say the same thing about Roswell, but I know enough to differ with them.

Fran

[Quoted text hidden]

rb47x@aol.com <rb47x@aol.com>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 3:00 PM

To: franridge42@gmail.com

OFF LIST

As I said in my last OFF LIST email there is no one interested enough on the anti side to have done a webpage.

Brad

----Original Message----

From: fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

To: rb47x <rb47x@aol.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 3:07 PM

To: rb47x@aol.com

Well, then it behooves us to write a disclaimer or joint statement. Yours is a little too brief and should be written in a scientific manner matter-of-factly. Name-calling and deriding only makes us look wrong when we are right.

[Quoted text hidden]

rb47x@aol.com <rb47x@aol.com>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 3:28 PM

To: franridge42@gmail.com

No one has time to plow through a thousand pages of lies to dissect them. Several years ago I happened to be researching early ADC radar sites and contacted Scott Ramsey for data and he had nothing except excuses whereas I had official ADC histories and documents.

Brad

----Original Message-----

From: fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

To: rb47x <rb47x@aol.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

rb47x@aol.com <rb47x@aol.com>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 3:32 PM

To: franridge42@gmail.com

I was just commenting off-the-cuff. I had more detailed critiques maybe posted on UFO UpDates a decade ago but don't have copies.

Brad

----Original Message----

From: fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

To: rb47x <rb47x@aol.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Frank Warren frank-warren@pacbell.net>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 3:55 PM

Afternoon Fran, Challon,

Whatever the Aztec event was—it wasn't a hoax. The *Cahnian edict* (proclamations by JP Cahn) as I call it, where the hoax ideology was borne, i.e., that Silas Newton and Leo GeBauer perpetrated a hoax and duped Frank Scully into writing a book about it got a foothold in the early '50's and has been the predominate explication ever since.

Ignorance abounds concerning the Aztec case and this is simply because most folks just echo the loudest voice in the room, while not doing their own research. This is not to say that aliens landed in Hart Canyon and have been held at Area 51 for all these years; however, there is ample evidence to suggest that an U.nidentified F.lying O.bject came down in the Four Corners area in the Spring of '48 or thereabouts and was spirited out by the military.

The most fruitful resources for the account are as follows:

Behind The Flying Saucers - By Frank Scully (1950)

The Flying Saucers & The Mysterious Little Men By JP Cahn (True Magazine Sept 1952)

The Great Flying Saucer Bunco - By JP Cahn (San Franciso Chronicle 1954)

Flying Saucer Swindlers By JP Cahn - (True magazine Sept 1956)

UFO Crash at Aztec - By Bill Steinman & Wendelle C. Stevens (1986)

The Aztec Incident: Recovery at Hart Canyon - By Scott & Suzanne Ramsey (2011)

The Aztec UFO Incident - Scott & Suzanne Ramsey (2016)

Cheers, Frank

[Quoted text hidden]



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com> To: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>

Cc: nicap-research@googlegroups.com

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 6:54 PM

Thanks for all the information folks. I had reached out to Fran this morning after watching the documentary last night and was wondering if NICAP had anything on the case in the database. Scott it seems had done a lot of investigative work on this case for the film but, I honestly didn't quite feel as though he had anything concrete (no pun intended based on the concrete pad supposedly used by the crane).;)

Thanks,

Challon L. Holt

Office: 502-410-3356

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

Dennis Balthaser <truthseeker@dfn.com>

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 8:22 PM

To: Frank Warren frank-warren@pacbell.net>, Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com>
Co: nicap-research@googlegroups.com

Challon.

I've been involved in many documentaries over the past 20 years that I've lived in Roswell, and I assure you those shows worth watching with factual information could be counted on one hand. Once filming is complete, we researchers have no say on the final product that is aired, and no reimbursement for our time and information. Read Scott Ramsey's books if you want to know about Aztec, not watch some documentary.

I've been to the Aztec site several times and there is no other reason for that concrete slab to be there, other than what Scott said was the reason for it being there. Scott spent a lot of his own money and countless time doing the research with witnesses, at archives, etc., for over 20 years before writing the first book. Since the event happened in 1948, NO ONE has done the exhaustive research on Aztec like Scott has done.

I've done several lectures with Scott and his wife since 1997, when I first met them in Aztec, been to their home in North Carolina, and countless telephone calls and emails during that time, and respect Scott and Suzanne as two of the most thorough researchers I've ever known.

Thanks, Dennis

www.truthseekeratroswell.com

---- Original Message ----

From: Challon Holt To: Frank Warren

Cc: nicap-research@googlegroups.com Sent: Friday, December 09, 2016 5:54 PM

Subject: Re: [nicap-research] Aztec UFO crash story

Thanks for all the information folks. I had reached out to Fran this morning after watching the documentary last night and was wondering if NICAP had anything on the case in the database. Scott it seems had done a lot of investigative work on this case for the film but, I honestly didn't quite feel as though he had anything concrete (no pun intended based on the concrete pad supposedly used by the crane).;)

Thanks,

Challon L. Holt

Office: 502-410-3356

[Quoted text hidden]

Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
To: Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com>
Cc: nicap-research@googlegroups.com

Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 8:35 PM

Challon,

Thanks for all the information folks. I had reached out to Fran this morning after watching the documentary last night and was wondering if NICAP had anything on the case in the database. Scott it seems had done a lot of investigative work on this case for the film but, I honestly didn't quite feel as though he had anything concrete (no pun intended based on the concrete pad supposedly used by the crane).;)

Thanks,

Challon L. Holt

Office: 502-410-3356

The doc in question is dated (2003); a great deal of research has been done since then. Below the surface, Aztec has more twists and turns in it then a bag of pretzels. As it is with any case, a lot of time must be devoted to get a handle on it.

Random factoid:

Although the editors at the *Chronicle* initially didn't want to touch Cahn's critical piece on Newton, GeBauer and Scully (which he later sold to *True*) they were more then happy to do so after the trial; the paper ran a 6-part series written by Cahn which later was picked up by NBC's "The Big Story," netting Cahn \$500.00.

Cheers, Frank

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

'Ananda Sirisena' via NICAP Research < nicap-research@googlegroups.com>

Sat, Dec 10, 2016 at 3:32 AM

Reply-To: Ananda Sirisena <alsirisena@yahoo.co.uk>

To: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>, Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com> Co: "nicap-research@googlegroups.com" <nicap-research@googlegroups.com>

Was Frank Scully's book the first ever to be published on the subject of 'flying saucers'?

And is any of the info in that book reliable?

Ananda

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
To: Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com>
Cc: nicap-research@googlegroups.com
Sent: Saturday, 10 December 2016, 2:35

Subject: Re: [nicap-research] Aztec UFO crash story

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "NICAP Research" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@ googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/ optout.



_

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "NICAP Research" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.

[Quoted text hidden]

Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com>

Sat, Dec 10, 2016 at 7:13 AM

To: Ananda Sirisena <alsirisena@yahoo.co.uk>

Cc: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>, "nicap-research@googlegroups.com" <nicap-research@googlegroups.com>

Dennis B. \sim Thanks for that awesome amount of information regarding Scott. I failed to mention in my post that he has done more good investigative work for this case than anyone has to date. I hope you didn't take my post as a personal stab towards his efforts. Because that's not what it meant at all.

Frank ~ Thanks again for your information on the case as well. I watched the doc again last night and apparently I had missed a lot of facts due to my sleepiness the night before. Like the part about how Newton and GeBauer were later tried by a Colorado DA and found guilty of their scheme.

All in all, Scott and his wife (as pointed out by Dennis) have done more for this case than anyone and I would have to say would be considered experts on the case in my opinion.

Thanks for all the folks who chimed in to bring light and wisdom to me on this case. Now I will have moer to go on.

Thanks,

Challon L. Holt

Office: 502-410-3356

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

Dennis Balthaser <truthseeker@dfn.com>

Sat, Dec 10, 2016 at 9:13 AM

To: Ananda Sirisena <alsirisena@yahoo.co.uk>, Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com> Cc: nicap-research@googlegroups.com, Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>

Challon,

I certainly didn't take your comments as a stab at Scott. There has been a lot of "garbage" written about Aztec over the years, as Frank partially explained, and I truly believe Scott has finally started to uncover some of the truth behind the incident. I didn't buy in to Aztec when I first heard about it, but having known and worked with Scott the past 19 years, I do think the case has a lot of merit, which will probably, as in most cases, require a lot more research.

Hopefully you now know a little more about Aztec, and I do highly recommend Scott's books.

Stay well,

Dennis

www.truthseekeratroswell.com

---- Original Message ----From: Challon Holt To: Ananda Sirisena

Cc: Frank Warren; nicap-research@googlegroups.com

Sent: Saturday, December 10, 2016 6:13 AM

Subject: Re: [nicap-research] Aztec UFO crash story

Dennis B. ~ Thanks for that awesome amount of information regarding Scott. I failed to mention in my post that he has done more good investigative work for this case than anyone has to date. I hope you didn't take my post as a personal stab towards his efforts. Because that's not what it meant at all.

Frank \sim Thanks again for your information on the case as well. I watched the doc again last night and apparently I had missed a lot of facts due to my sleepiness the night before. Like the part about how Newton and GeBauer were later tried by a Colorado DA and found guilty of their scheme.

All in all, Scott and his wife (as pointed out by Dennis) have done more for this case than anyone and I would have to say would be considered experts on the case in my opinion.

Thanks for all the folks who chimed in to bring light and wisdom to me on this case. Now I will have moer to go on.

Thanks,

Challon L. Holt

Office: 502-410-3356

[Quoted text hidden]

rb47x via NICAP Research <nicap-research@googlegroups.com>

Reply-To: rb47x@aol.com

To: alsirisena@yahoo.co.uk, frank-warren@pacbell.net, challon.holt@gmail.com Cc: nicap-research@googlegroups.com, nicapresearch@yahoogroups.com

Hi Ananda,

Keyhoe's book was the first non-fiction book on the subject, published in May 1950, several months before Scully's in Sept 1950.

Much of the "info" in Scully's book is factual but the Aztec hoax is a non-event despite desperate efforts by UFOlogists in the 1980's and ever since, to resurrect it through brute force bootstrap methods, out of competitive jealousy-driven rivalry to Roswell. **Not one single 1948 publication or document** refers to any event at Aztec in March 1948. Whereas Roswell was an actual July 1947 event, an indisputable fact, with **worldwide publicity in July 1947**, regardless what conclusion one makes of what actually happened.

Even before the jealousy-driven propping up of Aztec, its resurrection began as a traceable AFOSI disinformation operation in 1982. Bill Moore briefed AFOSI agent Doty on the *Aztec case as a hoax* in late 1982, based on his investigations of Scully, Newton and GeBauer as a possible 2nd Roswell incident. A few months later Moore was shocked to discover that his research showing Aztec was a hoax had been turned into Aztec-as-genuine in the forged Aquarius Executive Briefing for Carter "1977" presented by AFOSI agent Doty to Linda Howe on April 9, 1983.

As Moore put it:

Sat, Dec 10, 2016 at 10:43 AM

"the information which changed hands that day [in 1983] was directly descended from the discussion Doty and I had had on that very topic only a few months earlier! I can also tell you that it was a very effective deception.... To the best of my knowledge, it was all disinformation; and I was the one who had unwittingly supplied the fuel to those who were spreading the fire."

If one investigates what Scully wrote or published *before* his book (and which can still be traced into his book) it is clear that Aztec is a false disinformation story invented to discredit Roswell by changing details of location and date as misdirection away from Roswell and onto a non-event that would prove to be a dead-end. In Dec 1949, the Scully-Newton-GeBauer-Koehler story was that a saucer had been recovered from a *ranch* in New Mexico *near a proving ground* (obviously White Sands Proving Ground) in the Summer of 1947 and *taken by a General to TEXAS*. Sound familiar?

(The Brazel Debris Field is closer to White Sands Proving Ground at about 47 miles versus about 58 miles to Roswell downtown.)

Within days, in early Jan 1950, the story was transformed into Aztec, location changed by about 250 miles, the date moved into 1948 or 1949, the "ranch" detail deleted and no more mention of a proving ground.

Yes, that's right, the original Aztec date in Scully's unpublished draft book manuscript was "Spring of 1949" not March 1948.

Brad

----Original Message-----

From: 'Ananda Sirisena' via NICAP Research <nicap-research@googlegroups.com>
To: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>; Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com>

Cc: nicap-research < nicap-research@googlegroups.com >

Sent: Sat, Dec 10, 2016 1:32 am

Subject: Re: [nicap-research] Aztec UFO crash story

Was Frank Scully's book the first ever to be published on the subject of 'flying saucers'?

And is any of the info in that book reliable?

Ananda

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>

To: Challon Holt <challon.holt@gmail.com>
Cc: nicap-research@googlegroups.com
Sent: Saturday, 10 December 2016, 2:35

Subject: Re: [nicap-research] Aztec UFO crash story

Challon,

Thanks for all the information folks. I had reached out to Fran this morning after watching the documentary last night and was wondering if NICAP had anything on the case in the database. Scott it seems had done a lot of investigative work on this case for the film but, I honestly didn't quite feel as though he had anything concrete (no pun intended based on the concrete pad supposedly used by the

crane).;)

Thanks,

Challon L. Holt

Office: 502-410-3356

[Quoted text hidden]

Thanks,

Challon L. Holt

Office: 502-410-3356

On Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 2:39 PM, fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

What is the best source of truth regarding this alleged incident? One source lists March 1948 and another says March 25th, 1948. I know it's a hoax but two sites say there's more to it. ALL I want to do is put up a pdf of a good source and on the chrono. I was embarrassed to see we didn't even have it as a entry for web searchers.

Fran

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "NICAP Research" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@goo glegroups.com. For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/op tout.

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "NICAP Research" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com. For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups

"NICAP Research" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@ googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/ optout.



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "NICAP Research" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.

__

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "NICAP Research" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to nicap-research+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout. [Quoted text hidden]