



IN

US0614-1964-0001

CE2

How th 11/4/94

PENDING

DAN WRIGHT
Deputy Director, InvestigationsMUTUAL UFO NETWORK
103 OLDTOWNE ROAD
SEGUIN, TEXAS 78155228 S. FAIRVIEW
LANSING, MI 48912
(517) 374-6705PRELIMINARY EVALUATION
OF A UFO REPORT

US0614-1964-0001

Log Number: 881003Initiator: FRANCIS RIDGE618 DAVIS DRIVEReceived: 10/1/88MT. VERNON, IN 47620The report of a claimed UFO event witnessed by CHARLES ENGLEBRECHT on 6/14/64
has been evaluated. The current status of the case record is indicated below.

A. Complete: A preliminary rating is assigned. [See reverse side.]

Hynek - CE2

Berliner -

Speiser -

$$\begin{array}{r} Q \\ \Sigma .57 \\ TR .45 \\ A .254 \end{array} \quad 25.4\%$$
B. Resolved: A known event in the same time period involving
appears to account for the observation.C. Incomplete: A determination cannot be reached at this time. The following is needed
to finalize the case record.

1. Additional form(s) / materials:

2. Completion of form(s):

3. Information from collateral contact(s):

4. Explanation of sighting factor(s):

Thank you for your continued efforts and commitment to the UFO investigative process.
Please forward any materials requested (indicating the Log Number) to your MUFON state
or Provincial Director.c: State/Provincial Director SAME

Walter H. Andrus Jr., International Director

REPORT CLASSIFICATIONS

HYNEK - _____

- DE-1 - Nocturnal light
- DE-2 - Daylight disc
- DE-3 - Radar-visual
- CE-1 - Light/object in proximity
- CE-2 - Physical trace
- CE-3 - Occupant

Berliner - _____

Strangeness Scale:

- 0 - Identified as a known object/phenomenon or a report lacking clear UFO content
- 1 - Night light with no apparent object
- 2 - Night object
- 3 - Daylight object seen at a distance
- 4 - Night Close Encounter of the First Kind
- 5 - Daylight CE-I
- 6 - Ambiguous CE-II
- 7 - Unambiguous CE-II
- 8 - CE-III
- 9 - CE-III with occupant reaction to witness
- 10 - CE-III with meaningful communication

Credibility Scale:

- 0 - Witness(es) lacking believability
- 1 - Single average witness
- 2 - Multiple average witnesses
- 3 - Single exceptional witness
- 4 - Multiple exceptional witnesses
- 5 - Radar/visual observation
- 6 - Still photo(s) by amateur
- 7 - Still photo(s) by professional
- 8 - Movie/videotape by amateur
- 9 - Movie/videotape by professional
- 10 - Live television

SPEISER - _____

Strangeness Factor:

- S1 - Explainable or explained
- S2 - Probably explainable with more data
- S3 - Possibly explainable, but with elements of strangeness
- S4 - Strange; does not conform to known principles
- S5 - Highly strange; suggests intelligent guidance

Probability Factor:

- P1 - Not credible or sound
- P2 - Unreliable witness; possible hoax
- P3 - Somewhat credible or indeterminate
- P4 - Credible and sound
- P5 - Highly credible, leaving almost no doubt

COMMENTS:

JUN. 17 1988

RGVD 10/1/88

88/003

Page 1 of Indiana cases in MAYRECAT as of June 17, 1988

DATE	TI	PLACE	CO	SOURCES	KEY
840614	9	Dale, IN	EN NICAP Sp.Rpt. on Effects, p.61		
870222	6	Milton, IN	US N:K supporting evidence		IF
840505	1	Hobart, IN	US Hendry, Allan; private communication (Case 11)		AN
841124	22	New Albany, IN	US EUR Vol.2, No.1, Jan. 1977, p.5 (Allan Hendry)		AN
841117	8	Savah, Posey County, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		PEN
860817	4	Montgomery, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		PEN
861021	20	Mount Vernon, IN	US Frances L. Ridge (via Boyd)		
870105	18	Connisky, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		IFO
870204	21	Selma, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		PEN
870309	22	Corydon, IN	US CIO #20, report by Francis L. Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		
870310	2	Corydon (near), IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		
870323	22	Corydon, IN	US CIO #20, report by Francis L. Ridge (via Robert Boyd).		
870714	2	Evansville, IN	US CIO #29, report by Francis L. Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		PEN
870714	0	Georgetown, IN	US CIO #20, report by Francis L. Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		
870727	12	New Amsterdam, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge		
870823	21	Corydon, IN	US CIO #20, report submitted by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd).		

Number of Observations: 16

PEN = pending, i.e., more information needed

DATE	TI	PLACE	CO	SOURCES	KEY
841117	8	Savah, Posey County, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		PEN
860817	4	Montgomery, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		PEN
861021	20	Mount Vernon, IN	US Frances L. Ridge (via Boyd)		
870105	18	Commisky, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		IFO
870206	21	Selma, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		PEN
870309	22	Corydon, IN	US CIO #20, report by Francis L. Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		
870310	2	Corydon (near), IN	US Report by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		
870323	22	Corydon, IN	US CIO #20, report by Francis L. Ridge (via Robert Boyd).		
870714	2	Evansville, IN	US CIO #29, report by Francis L. Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		PEN
870714	0	Georgetown, IN	US CIO #20, report by Francis L. Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		
870722	23	Hawesville, KY	US CIO #20, report by Francis L. Ridge (via Robert Boyd)		
870727	12	New Amsterdam, IN	US Report by Francis Ridge		
870823	21	Corydon, IN	US CIO #20, report submitted by Francis Ridge (via Robert Boyd).		

Number of Observations: 13

TOI	DATE	PLACE	COMMENTS
861	640614	Dale, IN	SW, investigation report by M.T. Powers not located
849	670222	Milton, IN	Essentially SW, based on newspaper reports.
1021	760905	Hohart, IN	Insufficient information; possibly Jupiter.
947	761124	New Albany, IN	Essentially SW, no supporting evidence
931	841117	Savah, Posey County, IN	Complex effects on witnesses, possibly psycolog.
929	860817	Montgomery, IN	Needs further investigation
878	861021	Mount Vernon, IN	Possible aircraft
927	870105	Commisky, IN	SW, no supporting evidence
925	870206	Selma, IN	Insufficient information, possible aircraft
1053	870309	Corydon, IN	Insufficient information. See also M-923
923	870310	Corydon (near), IN	SW, no supporting evidence
1051	870323	Corydon, IN	Insufficient information
1045	870714	Evansville, IN	Possible aircraft
1046	870714	Georgetown, IN	Slowly moving lights; very likely aircraft
1216	870727	New Amsterdam, IN	SW, ground marks only, no UFO. Insuff. information
1039	870823	Corydon, IN	ML filmed on video; possibly aircraft.

Number of Observations: 16

INCIDENT REPORT

Computer copy produced by the UFO Filter Center, Mt. Vernon, IN

Lead Investigator: Francis Ridge)
Phillip Studler) NICAP
James Catt) Subcommittee

Primary Witness: Charles Englebrecht

COMPUTER ID NUMBER:

19 640614 2100 N DALE IN C2 01 REDBALL LOW WHIS 05-MIN

BERLINER COEFFICIENT: (0-100):

SPEISER CLASSIFICATION: (1-25) :

DESCRIPTION:

C2, one witness, small bright red ball landed, displaced objects, E-M effects, physiological effects on witness.

FLIGHT TYPE:

- (X) 1-WITHIN 200' OF GROUND
 - () 2-OBJECT OBSERVED UNDER CLOUD CEILING
 - (X) 3-MOTION BEGAN/CHANGED DURING EVENT
 - () 4-CONTINUOUS FLIGHT PATH, NO DEVIATION
 - (X) 5-STATIONARY TARGET
-

SPECIAL EVIDENCE STATUS:

- (X)*01 GENERAL CASE (Form 164B)
- () 02 COMPUTER INPUT
- (X)*03 ELECTRICAL/MAGNETIC CASE
- () 04 ANIMAL EFFECT CASE
- (X)*05 PSYCHOLOGICAL/PHYSIOLOGICAL
- (X)*06 LANDING TRACE CASE
- () 07 ENTITY CASE
- () 08 PHOTOGRAPHIC CASE
- () 09 RADAR CASE
- () 10 RESIDUAL RADIATION
- () 11 AERIAL SIGHTING REPORT

* No special forms used at that time for special evidence cases.
Forms used were the NICAP Form 97 and a revised 2-page, legal-sized version of the old 8-page Air Force Form 164. Therefore,

the Forms for Special Evidence were just recently filled out to allow transmission to consultants. These could NOT be signed by the witness.


INVESTIGATED REPORT


Date of Sighting: June 14, 1964
Local Time: 9:00 PM (CST)
Location of Sighting: RR2, Hwy 68W, 2 mile west of Dale
Dale, IN
Number of Witnesses: 01
Name of Principal Witness: Charles Englebrecht
Sighting Evaluation: Unexplained
MAYBE CAT
Date of Investigation: 20 June 1964
Principal Investigator: Francis Ridge
Assisted By: James Catt
Phillip Studler
Confidentiality: None
Date Submitted to NICAP: Several reports: 6/20, 6/22, 7/16, 7/17,
1964
Date Re-submitted: 23 September 1988

cc: Dan Wright, MUFON DDI
Walt Andrus, MUFON ID
Robert Boyd, CUFOS IC
Willy Smith, UNICAT PROJECT
File Copy

Submitted by:

Signature:






2 For Kentucky see pages 44-45 3

R. 5 W.
DUBOIS COUNTY

R. 4 W.
DUBOIS COUNTY



SIGHTING BACKGROUND (FI-4)

Evansville Courier article, June 15, 1964.

DALE YOUTH SEES FLYING 'SOMETHING'

By Gene Russell

Courier Staff Reporter

Dale, Ind. A Spencer County youth told police Sunday night he was held frozen in his tracks as he watched a glowing object cavort in the backyard and then streak away.

Puzzled authorities found a burned spot in the grass about the size of a dinner plate but said they had no idea what the object might have been.

Charles Englebrecht, 18, Dale, said he was alone in the darkened home watching television when a bright flash of light beside a window attracted his attention.

Englebrecht said the television and the lights in an adjoining room then blacked out. He is the son of Mr. & Mrs. Gilbert Englebrecht. Their farm is two miles west of here.

Trough overturned.

Englebrecht said the thing already was on the ground near the back steps when he got outside, but said "some strange power" held him away from it.

He noticed a nearby chicken feeded trough had been overturned, a large can of chicken feed knocked from a table and the family power mower was 10 feet from where he left it.

"I was numb," he said. "I didn't know what to do."

The boy said as he watched unable to move, "It began to rise and then disappeared over the barn."

A smell of sulphur filled the air, he said.

Dale Town Marshall Leroy Musgrave said he phoned State Police but received no other reports as the incident.

The boy's mother said she and her husband had seen a similar light last Wednesday but thought it was a falling star.

Englebrecht is a 1964 graduate of Dale High School and works at Huntingburg.

See Evansville Courier article, June 22, 1964

SIGHTING INVESTIGATION

Activity Log:

15 June 1964. The article that appeared in the Evansville Courier that morning described the incident very well. No immediate action was taken by our unit, (Indiana Unit No.1, NICAP) at the time.

18 June 1964. Letter from NICAP asking us to investigate the case. Landing reports were continuing and this was the 15th case received since 24 April.

20 June 1964. A team of three FI's of NICAP's Indiana Unit No. 1

went to Dale to get a first-hand report and soil samples. With the exception of the completed Form 97 (unsigned) and sketch of the indentations (which we did not obtain a copy of, and NICAP has the only copies) everything else is presented here.

21 June 1964. I ascertained the position of the moon for that evening as 150-degrees (almost SE+). Object's position was later determined to be at 261 to 241 (almost due West and SWW).

22 June 1964. Letter and samples sent to NICAP HQ.

7 July 1964. Another trip to Dale to check radiation levels at site. They were the same and too low to be concerned with.

11 July 1964. We obtained a signed Form 164B to document the case. Mr. Englebrecht did not want Charles to sign anything earlier. NICAP wanted a Form 97 signed and completed.

16 July 1964. Letter from NICAP acknowledging receipt of samples. A top-ranking government scientist was to conduct the analysis.

17 July 1964. Letter to NICAP.

SIGHTING ACCOUNT

FORM 164B Data:

Form completed on: 9 July 1964

Permission to use name: Given

Name: Charles Englebrecht

Age: 18

City/State: Dale, IN

Occupation: Factory worker
Huntingburg, IN

Education: H.S.

Temperature:

Wind Direction:

Wind Speed:

Sky Condition: Dark, clear

Moon: Bright

Visibility: Clear, many stars visible.

Ceiling:

Viewed from: Inside (glow)
Outside (object itself)

Brightness of object: Very much, bright, almost blinding.

UFO direction: Initial, 261-degrees
Final, 241

Elevation: 0, Ground-level
to 45-degrees

UFO distance: 55'

Observed sound: Very low whistling for few secs.

Smell: Sulphur-like

Speed: Object soared over barn

1. Where were you and what were you doing at the time?
In the house watching television.

2. What made you first notice the object?
Strange light on outside that shouldn't have been there.

3. What did you think the object was when you first noticed it?
Didn't know.

4. Describe your reactions and actions, during and after the sighting?
He claimed that a prickling sensation or shock-like force prevented him from approaching the object. The time period of 5-minutes for the observation may therefore be a little exaggerated, or may not.

5. Describe the object and its actions.
Object, sharply defined, self-luminous, actual size approximating that of a regular basketball, landed on the ground right near witness. After about 5-minutes the object took off off the barn (40' away). Object went from a bright red to a bluish-purple to white to brighter red on departure.

6. How did you lose sight of the object?
Object soared over barn and out of sight. Vanished in the distance.

FURTHER INVESTIGATION

Additional Witness Check:

Vera Hartley, Grace Kissel, Walter Singer & Mr. & Mrs. Engelbrecht all say they saw the glow "of something", but did not actually see the object.

Witness Background Check:

Our opinion was that the boy had a real experience with something unusual. He had lost a lot of weight (not sure now how much) through loss of appetite and diarrhea after the event.

SPECIAL EVIDENCE

Again, the MUFON Forms were not available in 1964 and were just recently completed by this investigator.

- 1) Originally there was a scorch mark about the size of a paper plate, still smoldering after the takeoff. There was some oily residue on the grass blades upon our arrival several days later.
- 2) The indentations were mapped and sketched and turned into NICAP. Unfortunately, no copy had been made. However, there were three small, 1" deep (finger-diameter) indentations in the small dinnerplate-sized area forming a triangle. The distances were 2" by 4-1/4" by 4-1/4". A lot of weight appeared to have been exerted to produce this small effect.
- 3) The witness described the feeling as he came out the back door to see the object as a "prickling sensation" that held him back as sure as if he had hit a clothesline. This was total paralysis, but centered about midchest on down about a fist wide. There was no clothesline there! We checked.
- 4) Charles had been near shock and experienced loss of appetite and loss of considerable weight during the next two weeks. I believe he lost about 18 pounds, but I cannot find any records to this effect. This loss of weight was also due to diarrhea.
- 5) TV interference and a power failure during the event sound familiar.
- 6) Objects such as a lawn mower, chicken feeder and a hoe were displaced, actually pulled toward the spot where the object rested. The mower, 10'. Garden hoe, 50'.
- 7) On the first day we visited we used two radiation detection units, one a V-700 geiger counter and the other, a Model 117B

scintillator. Both gave the same readings. A reading of 0.02 mr/h is not any consequence.

- 8) The reported smell of sulphur over the area is suspicious and has been reported in the literature many times.
- 9) The cherry trees and garden plants exposed near the area later died.

William T. Powers, the chief systems engineer at Dearborn Observatory had investigated the case for Project Blue Book and stated that he thought the boy may have seen only a burning piece of paper. I do not have the date(s) he visited, but it was prior to our investigation. I never heard the final analyses by the Air Force. Maybe CUFOS has a record from Dr. Hynek's efforts since he and Mr. Powers were associates.

Later we found that we had not submitted enough material or large enough samples to obtain an analyses. There simply wasn't enough residue. We did not obtain plaster casts of the indentations.

SIGHTING EVALUATION:

Final report by State Director as follows:

Over twenty years have passed since this event occurred and we have seen a lot of reports in that area of the state of orange (and some red) objects meeting that description and size. The brightness, duration, takeoff and EM effects appear to place it in one of three categories:

- 1) Ball lightning- ruled out.
- 2) Persinger lights- ruled out.
- 3) Possible "probe" associated with the UFO phenomenon. There had been several landings that year, beginning with the Socorro case.

There was no reason to doubt this boy's credibility that we could find.

Pending. Listed Close Encounter 1, single witness.

Francis L. Ridge
State Director, MUFON-Indiana

consider the bill raising the temporary national debt limit to \$324 billion.

Dale Youth Sees Flying 'Something'

By GENE RUSSELL

Courier Staff Writer

Dale, Ind. — A Spencer County youth told police Sunday night he was held frozen in his tracks as he watched a glowing object cavort in the backyard and then streak away.

Puzzled authorities found a burned spot in the grass about the size of a dinner plate but said they had no idea what the object might have been.

Charles Englebrecht, 18, Dale, said he was alone in his darkened home watching television when a bright flash of light beside a window attracted his attention.

Englebrecht said the television and the lights in and adjoining room then blacked out.

He is the son of Mr. and Mrs. Gilbert Englebrecht. Their farm is located on Ind. 68 two miles west of here.

Trough Overturned

Englebrecht said the thing already was on the ground near the back steps when he got outside, but said "some strange power" held him away from it.

He noticed a nearby chicken water trough had been overturned, a large can of chicken feed knocked from a table and the family power lawn mower was 10 feet from where he left it.

"I was numb," he said. "I didn't know what to do."

The boy said as he watched unable to move, "It began to rise and then disappeared over the barn."

A smell of sulphur filled the air, he said.

Dale Town Marshal LeRoy Musgrave said he phoned State police but received no other reports on the incident.

The boy's mother said she and her husband had seen a similar light last Wednesday but thought it was a falling star.

Englebrecht is a 1944 graduate of Dale High School and works at Washington.

White Flash!

Light Cavorts In Backyard, Scares Dale Youth, 18

DALE, Ind. (P) — A Spencer County farm youth told police he was held frozen in his tracks as he watched a glowing object cavort in the backyard and then streak away.

Puzzled authorities found a burned spot in the grass about the size of a dinner plate but said they had no idea what the object might have been.

Charles Englebrecht, 18, Dale, said he was alone late Sunday in his darkened home watching television when a bright flash of light beside a window attracted his attention.

Then the television blacked out.

YOUNG Englebrecht said the thing already was on the ground near the back steps when he got outside, but said "some strange power" held him away from it.

He noticed a nearby chicken water trough had been overturned, a large can of chicken feed

knocked from a table and that the family power lawn mower was 10 feet from where he left it.

"I was numb," he said. "I didn't know what to do."

The boy said as he watched unable to move, "It began to rise and then disappeared over the barn."

A smell of sulphur filled the air, he said.

Dale Police Chief LeRoy Musgrave confirmed the object had burned the grass, but frankly stated he was puzzled. "I have no idea what it could have been," he said.

CHIEF MUSGRAVE said he would continue an investigation and that he had contacted the sheriff.

No local authorities reported similar sightings.

But the boy's mother, Mrs. Gilbert Englebrecht, said she and her husband had seen a bright object flash over the farm at a low altitude last Wednesday night.

She said they thought it was a meteor.

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE

ON AERIAL PHENOMENA

TELEPHONE: NORTH 7-9434
CABLE ADDRESS:
SKYLIGHT


WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

June 18, 1964

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES:
1536 CONNECTICUT AVE., N. W.

MAJOR DONALD E. KEYHOF
USMC (RET.) DIRECTOR

AIR MAIL



Francis L. Ridge, Chairman
Indiana Unit #1 NICAP Subcommittee
RFD 5, Box 23
Vincennes, Indiana

Dear Mr. Ridge:

Landing reports are continuing; the enclosed is about the 15th case since April 24. Can you investigate it for us? If you are unable to travel to Dale, could you possibly attempt to obtain a taped interview via telephone? Any help you can lend would be appreciated. We are swamped with reports.

The UFO Evidence Report is on the presses now and should be available in 2-3 weeks, at last. We used some of the material you sent us on the southern Illinois investigations.

About the time the Report is released, we will resume publication of the UFO Investigator. The next issue will be as full a report as possible on the landing cases.

Sincerely yours,

Richard Hall

Richard Hall
Acting Director

RH:h
Encl:1

A privately-supported fact-finding body serving the national public interest

BOUNCING LIGHT

Glow In Barnyard Just Paper?

DALE, Ind. (AP) — An astronomer at Northwestern University is investigating Warrick County's bouncing barnyard glow but thinks the results will not be startling.

It may have been just a piece of burning paper, astronomer William Powers of the Dearborn Observatory said

yesterday as he took samples of earth and grass to make some tests. A circle of scorched grass the size of a dinner plate was the main evidence.

Charles Englebrecht, 18, reported that a glowing object flashed into the yard at his farm home Sunday night, knocked over a water trough and then soared away over the barn.

Powers declined to comment on earlier speculation that the object may have been "ball lightning."

"It may be nothing more than a burning newspaper that fluttered into the yard," he said.

CIVILIAN RESEARCH
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
VINCENNES, INDIANA

TELEPHONE:
TUXEDO 2-5501

FRANCIS RIDGE
DIRECTOR AND CHAIRMAN

SUBCOMMITTEE TO:
NICAP
MAJ. D. E. KEYHOE
WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

June 22, 1964

OFFICE OF: Asst.
Director

INDIANA UNIT NO. 1
National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena
RFD 5, BOX 23, - VINCENNES, INDIANA
Phone: 882-5501 - Radio: KHA 0407

Dear Mr. Hall:

Enclosed are various specimens gathered from the farm of Clarence Englebrecht located on State Highway 68, 2 miles west of Dale, Indiana. These specimens were taken from an area in proximity where a UFO reportedly landed on June 14, 1964 at (9:00 P.M.) 2100 hours M.T. The enclosed samples were gathered on June 20 and have been under refrigeration since June 21 to mailing date. Please send us a full report of the analyses of these samples regardless of their nature or significance to the case in question. We must have this so that we can correlate this to additional information we now have and are now obtaining.

We will be returning to Dale on June 27 to carry our investigation further. We have received more reports on the same sighting and others. Should any additional samples be desired or instruction be issued please contact us prior to this date. A full written report, taped interview and photographs will be sent as soon as we can reasonably assume the investigation to be complete.

Below are sample-numbers and a brief explanation:

1 and 2 are blades of grass taken from an area no less than 2" to 3" from the edge of the landing spot. Oil smears of some sort were noticed on these after the sighting.

3 is a soil sample taken from the very center of the landing spot. At time of gathering, rain and wind had already removed most of the remains or ashes. The ground was not seen afire but smoldered 1 to 2 minutes after departure.

4 is a leaf from a cherry tree 12' from landing spot.

5 and 6 are soil samples taken from 2' and 10' north of landing spot.

7 is a grass sample taken 52' 4" from landing spot. This is where Charles Englebrecht stood unable to move forward do to a strong electrical force exerting itself on the front portion of his body. Area covered by this force was about a hands width from head to toe...much like being shocked by a small electrical charge.


After the sighting a strong odor much like sulfur or carbolic acid dominated the area of the sighting and a $\frac{1}{4}$ mile to the south of it. The Englebrechts also noted that the water from their cistern was very odorous and distasteful for no apparent reason. New developments are turning up constantly and all indications of the sighting look very good.

Yours truly,


Francis Ridge

~~NOT FOR PUBLICATION OR DISSEMINATION~~

WHIT 1-55



WHILET P-55





REF ID: A66555

PHOTO 15-55



INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

207C

8

To O/I, Indiana Unit No. 1

Date 7 Jul 64

Subject SUBSEQUENT INTERROGATIONS AND RADIATION CHECKS IN REFERENCE TO DALE CASE 55.

On this date at approximately 7:00 we rechecked the landing site for a radiation reading taken on 20 June. The reading then was 0.02 mr/hr in clearer weather and dryer conditions, although the weather was stormier on the actual sighting date.

These radiation checks with the geiger counter and scintillator showed the same reading. This indicates that the reading is normal for the area. On this date (7 Jul) the weather conditions were stormy with a very active electrical storm center. This increase in precip did not seem to contribute any fallout to throw off the readings. If the reading had been appreciable different from the June 20th readings, then there might be more speculation as to causes. As far as radiation from the object is concerned, I could not find any reason to assume any such evidence from what I detected.

The reading at the time of the sighting might have shown something. Radioactive decay is very fast and by the 20th would probably have dropped to the background level.

William Powers was supposed to have commented that as far as the "force-field" was concerned around the object if the boy had walked further toward the repulsive force he would have been pulled toward it. This, of course could not be substantiated.

C.P. activities have been detected in the area due to publicity. This has no bearing on the case itself, it only tends to increase the paperwork.

Due to the health of the boy because of the scare from the sighting we let him fill out our Form 164 at his leisure. We already had a 97 filled out as per our OTS investigation on 20 June.

By

Francis P. Ridge

Chairman

VERBAL ORDERS DON'T GO

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE

ON AERIAL PHENOMENA

TELEPHONE: NORTH 7-9434


CABLE ADDRESS:
SKYLIGHT

WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

July 15, 1964

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES:
1838 CONNECTICUT AVE., N. W.

MAJOR DONALD E. KEYHOF
USMC (RET.) DIRECTOR



AIR MAIL

Francis L. Ridge, Chairman
Indiana Unit #1 NICAP Subcommittee
RFD 5, Box 23
Vincennes, Indiana

Dear Francis:

Can you rush me a brief account of the June 14 Dale case giving the basic facts for inclusion in the UFO Investigator? We are trying to quickly get out an issue with a round-up of the many recent cases, especially landing reports. Mainly what we need is time, ~~xxxxxx~~ ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ brief description of the incident as described by the witness, any supporting testimony, and a few comments about your estimate of his reliability.

The samples were safely received and I have spoken to a top-rank government scientist about them, as well as a few other samples we have from elsewhere. This man will do an excellent job of analysis, if such is possible. But we will be unable to identify him or his organization unless something spectacular is contained in the evidence. However, we can be assured of a fair and extremely thorough analysis; he has fantastic equipment at his disposal, and is willing to use it in our behalf on an off-the-record basis. Otherwise, he could not justify the use of the equipment to his agency.

I don't think this next report came from you. If not, could you do a little checking for us? Mrs. Helen Reed, RR#2, Lawrenceville, Illinois (2 1/2 miles W of Lawrenceville just off U.S. 50) had a spectacular close-range sighting 9:30 p.m. June 8. It was a dome-shaped UFO which maneuvered very low to the ground and within about 50 feet of her. We have a signed report, but would like to know what sort of a person she is.

The main mission would be character analysis, but this could be done under the pretext of obtaining more details. In fact, we could use a carefully drawn map of the area showing the positions of the UFO in relation to trees and other landmarks (which she omitted from her otherwise good map).

A privately-supported fact-finding body serving the national public interest
Sincerely, Dick Hall

CIVILIAN RESEARCH
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
VINCENNES, INDIANA

TELEPHONE:
TUXEDO 2-5501

FRANCIS RIDGE
DIRECTOR AND CHAIRMAN

July 17, 1964

SUBCOMMITTEE TO:
NICAP
MAJ. D. E. KEYHOE
WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

OFFICE OF: **Director**

Dear Mr. Hall:

This is in reply to your letter of 15 July. Included with this letter is a NICAP form that was used for investigation. We were unable to obtain any written or signed statements. The boy's parents wouldn't permit it. In this case the only thing to do is certify the report until we do get a signed statement or signature on the report.

I have included other data on the Dale report to aid in the investigation and research of the soil and grass samples. A further check on the 7th of July (two weeks after we first investigated) down at Dale showed the same radiation reading of 0.02 mr/hr. This shows that the reading we took on the 20th of June was normal for the area (high only for this area).

We were investigating a car chase reported to the Englebrecht boy, on the 7th of July. We have a taped interview. It happened on June 17th at Chrisney, 10 miles south of Dale. We are still working on this and the Dale report.

We are wandering about the reported landing of a UFO near Rome, Indiana last month. Still checking.

We will begin investigation of Lawrenceville report as soon as possible.

We did not receive all the copies of the UFO Evidence. We have received orders from the US Army to report for six months active duty for the National Guard. Two of us are involved. We leave August the 6th. Please rush us the rest of the reports. We will do all we can until we leave and will also do what we can to insure operation of this unit while we are gone.

Further information is following.

FR/UFOI/DC

Sincerely,
Francis L. Ridge
Francis L. Ridge
Director & Chairman

STRANGE EFFECTS FROM UFOs

NICAP SPECIAL REPORT, 1969

The snow in the area was blown around and some of the bushes were flattened.

Springhill is about 125 miles northeast of Cornwallis.

Fifty-four of the sightings in this report include landings or near landings from 1954 to 1969 in 20 states and eight foreign countries. Of these, 31, or more than half, involve the discovery of physical evidence. Twenty-seven, or exactly half, include animal reactions. Of these, five involve reactions from more than one kind of animal.

Landing/Communication Theories

The landing and near landing cases are of special significance, particularly if we consider the tremendous success of our Apollo program and man's walk on the moon. President Richard M. Nixon has predicted that, by the end of the century, we will have explored other planets and come in contact with some form of life. This has prompted some skeptics to the extraterrestrial theory of UFOs to take a second look and ask the vital question: if we can land and walk on an extraterrestrial body, is it not possible that members of some extraterrestrial civilization could do the same to earth? Most astronomers now believe that there is life, intelligent and otherwise, on millions of other planets. Even the noted UFO skeptic, astronomer Dr. Carl Sagan, of Princeton University, has said that, if there are extraterrestrial civilizations somewhere in space, many are probably more highly developed, at least scientifically, than the human race.

This, of course, still does not answer the frequently-asked question: if extraterrestrial beings have landed here on earth, why have they not established contact? We cannot answer this question. Nor will we be able to do so until actual contact is made. (Thus far, NICAP has not found a single authenticated report of verbal or physical contact between a human and an extraterrestrial being, the reports of certain questionable individuals and fringe groups to the contrary). However, we can offer some educational speculation.

One interesting theory is that the beings who possibly man UFOs are so different from us in physiological and psychological makeup that they may look upon us as we look upon the lower forms of animals. "After all," Dr. James E. McDonald said, "we don't try to communicate with the kangaroo in Australia." In dealing with this problem we may be forced to alter our "human" way of reasoning, as difficult as it may be. Dr. Frank Halsted, the late astronomer, said that we too often tend to judge conditions in the universe by conditions here on earth.

There have been a few reports of alleged extraterrestrial beings beside landed UFOs who appeared to be studying the terrain and collecting earth samples. Although of questionable documentation, this is certainly no longer as far-fetched as it once was. The collecting of moon rocks by our own astronauts may be cited as a good back-up.

Witness Shocked, Yard Utensils Disturbed

Charles Englebrecht was watching television in his home in Dale, Indiana, at 8:55 p.m., June 14, 1964, when he saw a light pass by the kitchen window. As he went to investigate, the television set and house lights went out.

Outside, the witness saw an orange object as large as a basketball resting on the ground in the back yard. As he attempted a closer approach, Englebrecht said he felt something pressing him back - or his frightened state may have induced this sensation. A NICAP Vincennes, Indiana, Subcommittee investigator said the sensation was "much like being shocked by a small electrical charge."

Calling his parents, the witness saw the UFO take off and move to the west over the barn roof.

Englebrecht and his parents noticed a strong "sulfurous" smell around the area where the object had landed. This was confirmed about half an hour later when Police Chief Leroy Musgrave arrived to investigate. Musgrave said he noted the odor "at least 1/4 mile from the house."

There were other things out of order in the yard. A power lawn mower had apparently rolled about 10 feet from its normal place toward the landing site. Cans that were on a table had fallen off in the same direction. A hoe was located 50 feet away from its stand against the house. It, too, was near the landing site. A water trough had been overturned, according to a local newspaper account. There was also a small burned area with three indentations forming a triangle and measuring nearly an inch in depth and diameter. (21)

In a telephone interview with Dr. James E. McDonald, Mrs. Gilbert Englebrecht, the witness' mother, said her son lost weight in the weeks following the sighting and he did not feel his normal self until the end of the summer. She added that all the cherry trees and garden plants around the landing area died after the sighting. She also confirmed the permeating odor and the E-M effects.

Object Leaves Circle, Disturbed Leaves

Mrs. Thelma B. Schumaker was visiting her mother, Mrs. W. H. Blackburn, five miles north of Aity, North Carolina. Both were asleep at 3 a.m., August 19, 1965. Suddenly, Mrs. Schumaker was awakened by an "explosive" noise in the back yard.

"The noise was nearing the north side of the house and by my window," the witness told NICAP. "It had changed to ... that of a giant top spinning. It sounded as though air currents were inside metal and extremely powerful. I could feel them against the house and could hear the limbs of an evergreen seemingly being almost pulled from it. This tree was possibly 12 feet from my window. I must admit I was frightened by this time, as I thought it would surely hit the house."

Mrs. Schumaker thought she had been struck by an "immobilizing power," for she could neither "move or speak." Then the noise appeared to rise and travel west. The frightened woman woke her mother. Both women saw a bright golden cigar hovering to the north. The UFO then moved to the right, then left, then up and down, left again, then disappeared behind some high oak trees.


The next morning the witnesses found, in the back yard, a "six inch wide circle of depressed sod" that was, in places, "worn to the soil." The circle was 12 feet in diameter. There were strewn leaves from the oak trees. Leaves on the other trees also appeared disheveled. Mrs. Schumaker also reported a large hole on the roof of her house which had not been noticed prior to the sighting.

Jar
flying
drive
Aust
solid
Th
upto
botto
was o
30 li
the b
A
Stati
"q
Justi
under
"q
"q
11
"q
meas
was
exter
did i
can b
C
and
"D
sight

It
Moo
lowh
sign
BOM
TRE
to
water
19, 1
11
the s
down
mile
abou

National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena

TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT



Unidentified Flying Objects

ATIC Form 164, October 13th, 1954

Permission to reprint or revise issued 4 April 1960

by Department of the Air Force

c/o Major Lawrence J. Tacker

PIC/GPI

Department of Defense

This questionnaire has been prepared so that you can give the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena as much information as possible concerning the unidentified or strange aerial phenomena that you have observed. Please try to answer as many questions as you possibly can. The information that you give will be used for research purposes, and will be regarded as confidential material. Your name will not be used in connection with any statements, conclusions, or publications without your permission. We request this personal information so that, if it is deemed necessary, we may contact you for further details.

1. When did you see the object(s) ? Day: June Month: 14 Year: 64
Time: 9:00 A.M. or P.M. / Time Zone Central
Daylight Saving or Standard ? (circle)
2. Where were you when you saw it ? In the house watching television
3. How long did you watch it ? 1 hours 0 minutes 0 sec.
4. Condition of sky: Daylight (bright, dull, trace of, none) (circle)
Twilight (bright, dull, dark)
5. If night, twilight, or dawn... Stars: (none, a few, many)
Moon: (bright, dull, none) 150°
6. How bright was the object ? very much bright almost blinding
7. Did the object(s):
 - a) Appear to stand still or hover ? Yes No (circle) hans
 - b) Speed up or slow down ? Yes No decel down
What? none Suddenly or slowly ?
 - c) Break up or explode ? Yes NO
 - d) Give off smoke, leave a trail, drop anything ? Yes NO
What?
 - e) Change color and/or brightness ? Yes No
Explain when taking off, changed from bright red to blue
 - f) Change shape ? Yes NO purple to white
 - g) Flicker, throb, pulsate, rotate, or wobble ? Yes NO
Explain
 - h) Move behind anything at any time ? Yes NO
What?
 - i) Move in front of anything at any time ? Yes No
What? the barn 40' away
 - j) Appear solid ? Yes No Transparent ? Yes No

8. Did you observe the object(s) through anything: windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, glasses, etc. ?
 Explain no
9. Tell in a few words: a) Sound very low whistling for few sec.
 b) Color very bright red
10. Draw a picture. (Show shape of object(s), wings, protrusions, etc., and exhaust trails or vapor trails... place arrow showing direction of motion of UFO object(s)).
11. The edges of the object were: (circle one)
 a) fuzzy or blurred c) like a bright star
 b) sharply outlined d) other
 Object self-luminous or reflecting light ? yes (self lum)
 Poor or dull reflective surface ?
12. How many objects ? one
 How were they arranged ?

1. Did you see the object(s) through anything: windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, glasses, etc. ?
 Explain no

2. Tell in a few words: a) Sound very low whistling for few sec.
 b) Color very bright red

3. Draw a picture. (Show shape of object(s), wings, protrusions, etc., and exhaust trails or vapor trails... place arrow showing direction of motion of UFO object(s)).

4. The edges of the object were: (circle one)
 a) fuzzy or blurred c) like a bright star
 b) sharply outlined d) other
 Object self-luminous or reflecting light ? yes (self lum)
 Poor or dull reflective surface ?

5. How many objects ? one
 How were they arranged ?

6. Did you see the object(s) through anything: windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, glasses, etc. ?
 Explain no

7. Tell in a few words: a) Sound very low whistling for few sec.
 b) Color very bright red

8. Draw a picture. (Show shape of object(s), wings, protrusions, etc., and exhaust trails or vapor trails... place arrow showing direction of motion of UFO object(s)).

9. The edges of the object were: (circle one)
 a) fuzzy or blurred c) like a bright star
 b) sharply outlined d) other
 Object self-luminous or reflecting light ? yes (self lum)
 Poor or dull reflective surface ?

10. How many objects ? one
 How were they arranged ?

11. Did you see the object(s) through anything: windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, glasses, etc. ?
 Explain no

12. Tell in a few words: a) Sound very low whistling for few sec.
 b) Color very bright red

13. Draw a picture. (Show shape of object(s), wings, protrusions, etc., and exhaust trails or vapor trails... place arrow showing direction of motion of UFO object(s)).

14. The edges of the object were: (circle one)
 a) fuzzy or blurred c) like a bright star
 b) sharply outlined d) other
 Object self-luminous or reflecting light ? yes (self lum)
 Poor or dull reflective surface ?

15. How many objects ? one
 How were they arranged ?


16. Did you see the object(s) through anything: windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, glasses, etc. ?
 Explain no

17. Tell in a few words: a) Sound very low whistling for few sec.
 b) Color very bright red

18. Draw a picture. (Show shape of object(s), wings, protrusions, etc., and exhaust trails or vapor trails... place arrow showing direction of motion of UFO object(s)).

19. The edges of the object were: (circle one)
 a) fuzzy or blurred c) like a bright star
 b) sharply outlined d) other
 Object self-luminous or reflecting light ? yes (self lum)
 Poor or dull reflective surface ?

20. How many objects ? one
 How were they arranged ?



21. Did you see the object(s) through anything: windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, glasses, etc. ?
 Explain no

22. Tell in a few words: a) Sound very low whistling for few sec.
 b) Color very bright red

23. Draw a picture. (Show shape of object(s), wings, protrusions, etc., and exhaust trails or vapor trails... place arrow showing direction of motion of UFO object(s)).

24. The edges of the object were: (circle one)
 a) fuzzy or blurred c) like a bright star
 b) sharply outlined d) other
 Object self-luminous or reflecting light ? yes (self lum)
 Poor or dull reflective surface ?

25. How many objects ? one
 How were they arranged ?

13. Draw a picture that will show the motion that the UFO or objects made. Place an "A" at the beginning of the path, a "B" at the end of the path, and show any changes in direction during course.
14. Estimate object's size in comparison with a ruler held at arm's length 1/2 inches. Estimate size of full moon in same manner (without looking at moon) 3/4.
15. Try to estimate the object(s) dimensions: About the size of a basketball
16. How large did the object or objects appear as compared with one of the following objects held in the hand at about arm's length? (circle)
- | | |
|--|------------------|
| a) Head of a pin | g) Silver dollar |
| b) Pea | h) Baseball |
| ☒ c) Nickle | i) Grapefruit |
| d) Dime | j) Basketball |
| e) Quarter | k) Other _____ |
| f) Half-dollar | |
17. How did the object(s) disappear from view? flew over the top of barn
18. Object's shape round
Of what material would you make it? Don't know
Common object which would give same appearance None in color, shape like basketball
19. What were you doing when you saw the object? Watching T.V.
20. How did you happen to notice it? Strange light on outside which shouldn't have been there
21. In what direction was it when you saw it? west 361°
...when you last saw it? west 241°
22. What was the elevation of the object(s) in the sky? Mark on this hemisphere sketch:



23. Did object(s) rise or fall during observation? Explain: yes, set on ground where I observed it
24. Weather conditions good
25. Any conventional aircraft in area at time? Yes ☒ No
- Explain _____
26. Have you ever seen anything like this before? Yes ☒ No
- Briefly elaborate _____
27. What do you think the object was or what caused it? Don't know
28. Estimated speed: _____ Estimated distance: 55' at one
Estimated height: _____

Time

Highway 68 W

Age 18

Sex male

Present occupation

Factor market

Indicate schooling or special training you have had:

Wig H. Schow

Military service

Rank

Assignment or capacity

30. Witnesses: *none*

{ Vera Hartley, Grace Kessel
Walter Sanger, Mrs. & Mrs. Englebrecht

31. Date: 7-9-64

32. Below...permission to quote your name(s):

You may use my name ☒ Please keep confidential ☐

33. Signatures: Charles Engelbrecht

saw glow of "something"

SEND REPORT TO: Indiana Unit No. 1

National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena

RFD 5, Box 23, Vincennes, Indiana

18. How long the operator(s) has been in the area :

7) NO FLIGHT

6) 1997, DEL

91-11105

51-10370

65 2007

1954-01-01

SPONTANEOUSLY, A TENDENCY TO (CIRCULAR)

(2) ALBUQUERQUE

2) BREAK CONT.

71-017561-101

U) DEPOSIT

3) ATTACH. DETTGE

AT AN ONE OF THE LOYALTY OFFICES HERE IN THE HOUSE OF

re: non-pacific gtc are placed on collectors subject to combined

Тр. 351 го обрзано го ере ерлеса(а) утмонатона:

MOON TO BEING KILLED. (MURDERER TAKING OF MOON)

SECRET

It is further observed that the Commission after a later period

GRADUATE IN PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT COLLEGE

be fr' a "B" of the eng of the be fr' eng from eng.

of, or for the purpose of, placing an "X" at the beginning of the

12' DLSM S DTCFME CUS F MTTI SPOM CUS WOFTON PUS F CPE CLO

UFO SIGHTING QUESTIONNAIRE-ELECTRICAL/MAGNETIC CASES (FORM 3)

INTER-FORM CROSS REFERENCE DATA

SIGHTING DATE 6/14/64 PLACE DALE, MS
 TIME THAT EFFECTS STARTED 2:00 P STOPPED 2:05 P
 WITNESS' NAME CHARLES ENGELBRECHT
 INVESTIGATOR'S NAME Thomas L. Ridge

IDENTIFICATION OF ITEM(S) AFFECTED

RADIO () TELEVISION () HEARING AID () LIGHTS ()
 CIRCUIT-BREAKER () FUSE () WIRE () COMPASS () WATCH ()
 AUTOMOBILE () MOTORCYCLE () PLANE () HELICOPTER () OTHER _____

COMMENTS:

PERSONAL ACCOUNT (Include on Form 1)

Describe the exact chronological order of Electrical/Magnetic events as they occurred.

RADIO/RADAR EQUIPMENT

TYPE OF EQUIPMENT: FIXED () MOBILE () PORTABLE () RECEIVER () TRANSMITTER ()
 MANUFACTURER: NAME _____ MODEL _____ YEAR _____
 POWER SOURCE: AC () DC () LINE () GENERATOR () BATTERY () OTHER _____
 POWER VOLTAGE: 120v () 12v () 9v () OTHER _____
 OPERATING MODE: AM () FM () VHF () UHF () STATION _____ FREQUENCY _____
 ANTENNA LOCATION: INTERNAL () EXTERNAL () DESCRIBE _____
 INTERFERENCE/TYPE: STATIC () HUM () OSCILLATION () OTHER _____
 INTERFERENCE/EXTENT: PARTIAL () INTERMITTENT () COMPLETE () OTHER _____
 OPERATING CONDITION: PRIOR UFO SIGHTING? _____ DURING? _____ AFTER UFO SIGHTING? _____

VEHICLE

MANUFACTURER: NAME _____ MODEL _____ YEAR _____
 OWNERSHIP: PRIVATE () COMMERCIAL () GOVERNMENT () OTHER _____
 ENGINE TYPE: REGULAR () DIESEL () ELECTRIC () PROPELLER () JET () OTHER _____
 ENGINE DATA: NUMBER/CYLINDERS? _____ WATER-COOLED () AIR-COOLED () OTHER _____
 IGNITION/ELECTRICAL: STANDARD () ELECTRONIC () ALTERNATOR () GENERATOR () OTHER _____
 IGNITION SWITCH: DURING EVENT - ON () OFF () COULD RE-START () COULD NOT RE-START ()
 AFTER EVENT - ON () OFF () COULD RE-START () COULD NOT RE-START ()
 EFFECTS NOTED: NUMBER SEQUENTIALLY IN-ORDER-OF OCCURANCE IF MORE THAN ONE EVENT TOOK PLACE:
 (EXTERIOR LIGHTS) DURING EVENT - ON () OFF () DIMMED () PULSATED () OTHER _____
 AFTER EVENT - ON () OFF () DIMMED () PULSATED () OTHER _____
 (INTERIOR LIGHTS) DURING EVENT - ON () OFF () DIMMED () PULSATED () OTHER _____
 AFTER EVENT - ON () OFF () DIMMED () PULSATED () OTHER _____
 (INDICATOR LIGHTS) DURING EVENT - ON () OFF () DIMMED () PULSATED () OTHER _____
 AFTER EVENT - ON () OFF () DIMMED () PULSATED () OTHER _____
 (ENGINE PERFORMANCE) DURING EVENT - GOOD () OTHER _____
 AFTER EVENT - GOOD () OTHER _____
 (BATTERY CONDITION) BEFORE EVENT - GOOD () FAIR () POOR () OTHER _____
 AFTER EVENT - GOOD () FAIR () POOR () OTHER _____

OTHER AFFECTED ITEMS

(Check and Describe in detail on reverse side of this sheet)

CIRCUIT BREAKER () FUSE () HOUSE LIGHTS () YARD LIGHTS () STREET LIGHTS () COMPASS ()
 HEARING AID () WIRES HEATED () WIRES MELTED () ELECTRIC SHOCK () STATIC ELECTRICITY ()
 METAL MAGNETIZED () TIMEPIECE STOPPED () AIR CLOWED () TELEPHONE () OTHER TV (of course)

COMMENTS OCCURRED RIGHT AT SAME TIME OF OBJECT

MAY () MAY NOT () USE MY NAME

SIGNATURE OF WITNESS

DAY MONTH YEAR

CHARLES ENGELBRECHT
Thomas L. Ridge 9/18/88

Figure 3

INTER-FORM CROSS-REFERENCE DATA

SIGHTING DATE: 6/14/64 PLACE: DALE, IN

TIME/EFFECTS OCCURRED: FROM 10:00 TO 11:00

WITNESS' NAME: CHARLES EUGEN BRECHT

INVESTIGATOR'S NAME: [Signature]

GENERAL DATA

TYPE OF EFFECT: PSYCHOLOGICAL () PHYSIOLOGICAL () TEMPORARY () LASTING ()

INCESSION OF: STIMULANT/DEPRESSANT MIN () HRS () PRIOR EFFECT

ATTENDING DOCTOR: (Name/Address/Phone/Comments)

PERSONAL ACCOUNT (Include on Form 1)

In your own words, describe any psychological/physiological effects experienced.

PSYCHOLOGICAL EFFECTS

(Circle the D and/or A beside each item checked to denote whether the effect was noted during or after the UFO sighting. Additional information, including the time duration of each effect, should be clearly stated on the reverse side of this questionnaire. Use additional numbered sheets, if necessary.)

CALMNESS () D A	THINKING IMPAIRED () D A	PERSONALITY CHANGE () D A
CURIOUS () D A	INVOLUNTARY ACTIONS () D A	RELIGIOUS EXPERIENCE () D A
ELATED () D A	TRANCE-LIKE STATE () D A	MEMORY LAPSE () D A
FEARFUL () D A	MENTAL TELEPATHY () D A	TIME LAPSE () D A
PANICKED () D A	DREAMS () D A	OTHER () D A

COMMENTS:

PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

(Use same instructions as for above)

HAIR STOOD ON END () D A	SHOOK NERVOUSLY () D A	FELT ELECTRIC SHOCK () D A
HAIR BURNED () D A	FELT DIZZY () D A	BECAME PARALYZED () D A
HAIR TURNED WHITE () D A	FELT SLUGGISH () D A	BODY PERSPIRED () D A
HAIR FELL OUT () D A	FELT MAUSEATED () D A	FELT BURNING SENSATION () D A
EYES WATERED () D A	HAD HEADACHE () D A	SKIN WAS BURNED () D A
EYES SMARTED () D A	ORGANS VIBRATED () D A	EXPERIENCED SKIN RASH () D A
EYES OUT OF FOCUS () D A	DRY-HEAVED () D A	EXPERIENCED WARTS () D A
EYES BLINDED () D A	VOMITED () D A	EXPERIENCED BODY MARKS () D A
EARDRUMS VIBRATED () D A	PASSED URINE () D A	EXPERIENCED BODY WOUNDS () D A
EARS HURT () D A	PASSED STOOL () D A	SKIN PEELED OFF () D A
EARS DEAFENED () D A	FELT WARMER () D A	NECK MUSCLES ACHED () D A
NOSE IRRITATED () D A	FELT COLDER () D A	ARM MUSCLES ACHED () D A
NOSE BLED () D A	FELT LIGHTER () D A	LEG MUSCLES ACHED () D A
BLED THROUGH MOUTH () D A	FELT HEAVIER () D A	SPINAL COLUMN ACHED () D A
TOOTH FILLINGS VIBRATED () D A	FLOATED IN AIR () D A	OTHER LOSS OF HT () D A

RELATIONSHIP OF UFO OR ENTITY TO AFFECTED PERSON

INDIRECT: UFO MERELY OVERFLEW AREA WITH NO APPARENT INTEREST IN THE WITNESS ()

APPARENT DIRECT: UFO APPROACHED WITNESS DURING EFFECTS () UFO HOVERED OVER WITNESS DURING EFFECTS ()

ACTUAL DIRECT: WITNESS TOUCHED BY: UFO () LIGHT BEAM () ENTITY () AN INSTRUMENT ()

COMMENTS: "FORCE FIELD" APPROX FIST WIDE DOWN FRONT OF WITNESS

PSYCHIC INTERESTS AND ABILITIES

INTERESTED IN PSYCHIC PHENOMENA? YES () NO () WHAT TYPE? _____

HAVE PSYCHIC ABILITIES? YES () NO () DESCRIBE _____

HAVE ABILITIES BEEN TESTED? YES () NO () BY WHOM/RESULTS? _____

MAY () MAY NOT () USE MY NAME _____ SIGNATURE OF WITNESS _____ DAY MONTH YEAR _____

Figure 5



UFO SIGHTING QUESTIONNAIRE - LANDING/TRACES/ARTIFACTS (FORM 6)

INTER-FORM CROSS-REFERENCE DATA

SIGHTING DATE 4/4/64 PLACE DALE, IN
 TIME TRACE/ARTIFACT FOUND 9:00 AM IN ZONE DIT
 WITNESS' NAME CHARLES EAGLEBERT
 INVESTIGATOR'S NAME Theresa R. Raley

UFO REPORT CONTENT (For MUFON Use)

TYPE/DATA: TRACE ☒ ARTIFACT ☐ FRAGMENT ☐ OTHER ☐
 KIND/DATA: SOIL ☒ ROCK ☐ METAL ☐ VEGETATION ☐
 SPECIAL DATA: RADIOACTIVITY ☒ Type Gelger Counter V-700 Reading 0.02
 ANALYSIS BY: NICAP LABS RESULTS: Normal R-A

PERSONAL ACCOUNT (INCLUDE ON FORM 1)

EXPLAIN HOW YOU DISCOVERED THE TRACES/ARTIFACTS AND WHY YOU BELIEVE THEY WERE CAUSED BY UFO ACTIVITY.

ASSOCIATION OF TRACES/ARTIFACT WITH UFO

EXPLICIT: SAW UFO - DESCEND () LAND ON GROUND (☒) TAKE OFF (☒) FROM EXACT LOCATION OF EVIDENCE.
 DIRECT: SAW UFO - DESCEND () HOVER () ASCEND (☒) OVER THE GENERAL LOCATION EVIDENCE FOUND.
 IMPLIED: SAW UFO OVER-FLY - EXACT LOCATION () GENERAL LOCATION () WHERE EVIDENCE WAS FOUND.
 OTHER: DID NOT SEE A UFO IN CONNECTION WITH THE TRACE OR ARTIFACT FOUND ()

DESCRIPTION OF GROUND TRACES

DESCRIPTION	PRIMARY EFFECTS				SECONDARY EFFECTS ⁽²⁾			
	RING	CIRCLE	NEST	IRREGULAR	IMPRINTS	SOIL	TREE	PLANT
DIAMETER	<u>8-9"</u>							
WIDTH								
LENGTH								
DEPTH								
SHAPE								
DISCOLORED	<u>only</u>	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
DEPRESSED	<u>✓</u>	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
CRUSHED	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
BAKED	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
BURNED	<u>✓</u>	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
SCORCHED	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
SCARRED	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
BROKEN	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
PULLED UP	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
FOOTPRINTS	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
CRATER	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
ARTIFACT IN	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
ARTIFACT NEAR	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
OTHER	<u>DEAD</u>	()	()	()	()	()	(<u>✓</u>) <u>DIED</u>	()
	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

DESCRIPTION OF ARTIFACT

MATERIAL: LIQUID ☒ FIBROUS () ROCK () METAL () SLAG () GAS () ICE () OTHER _____
 SIZE: LENGTH _____ HEIGHT _____ WIDTH _____ DIAMETER _____ VOLUME _____
 OTHER: COLOR(s) _____ SHAPE _____ WEIGHT _____ TEXTURE _____
 COMMENTS: (Compare with similar known material, etc.) SULPHUR SMELL IN AREA

SKETCH OF TRACES/ARTIFACT

ON THE REVERSE SIDE, SKETCH THE TRACES AND/OR ARTIFACTS. INCLUDE AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE. LABEL DIMENSIONS.

MAY (☒) MAY NOT () USE MY NAME _____ SIGNATURE OF WITNESS _____ DAY MONTH YEAR

* SCINTILLATION, #117B ALSO USED (SAME READINGS)

* INDENTATIONS - SMALL SHALLOW FINGER-SIZED.
 Figure 6

UFO INVESTIGATION

SOURCE TEST

Below are natural and man-made sources of mistaken UFO reports (IFOs). Two human senses are usually responsible - sight (SI) and hearing (HE). Columns for these are on the right. The error may be directly by the witness, or an environmental factor may have caused it.

Based on the investigation, for each potential IFO factor indicate whether a misperception of sight/hearing may be involved by marking: L (Likely), P (Possible) or N (Not possible).

	<u>SI</u>	<u>HE</u>
	(L)	(P)

Example (from Section B, #1,c - helicopter):

Comment: Reported beam of light likely from police helicopter verified in the area.

Gusty wind may have blocked the sound of the rotors. See comment on wind in A, #2.

A. Natural Sources	<u>SI</u>	<u>HE</u>
--------------------	-----------	-----------

1. Organic Source, Terrestrial

- | | | |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|
| a) Animal..... | (N) | () |
| b) Bird(s), reptile..... | (N) | () |
| c) Insect(s)..... | (N) | () |

Comment: _____

2. Inorganic Source Terrestrial

- | | | |
|---|-----|-----|
| a) Adverse weather (snow, rain, fog, wind)..... | (N) | () |
| b) Atmospheric (aurora, vapor trail, cloudcover)..... | (N) | () |
| c) Lightning (bolt, heat, ball), thunder..... | (N) | () |
| d) Other (mirage, fire, smoke, swamp gas)..... | (N) | () |

Comment: TOO BRIGHT (ALSO RED) & DURATION TOO LONG FOR BALL LIGHTNING - TOOK OFF OVER BARN AFTER 5 MIN O/C.

3. Inorganic Source, Transterrestrial

- | | | |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|
| a) Bolide..... | (N) | () |
| b) Common meteor..... | (N) | () |

Comment: _____

4. Inorganic Source, Extraterrestrial

- | | | |
|---|-----|-----|
| a) Planet (Venus, Jupiter, Mars, other)..... | (N) | () |
| b) Star (Sirius, Vega, Arcturus, Capella, other)..... | (N) | () |
| c) Sun (including reflection)..... | (N) | () |
| d) Moon (including reflection)..... | (N) | () |

Comment: _____

5. Other ()..... () ()

Comment: E-M EFFECTS ON POWER CIRCUIT AT EXACT TIME OF SIGHTING. ALSO P/L EFFECTS ON WITNESS.

B. MAN-MADE SOURCE

SI

HE

1. Aircraft

- a) Advertising..... (✓) ()
- b) Commercial, Military, Private..... (✓) ()
- c) Other (helicopter, ultra-light, blimp)..... (✓) ()

Comment: _____

2. Balloon

- a) Hot air..... (✓) ()
- b) Weather, Test..... (✓) ()
- c) Other (toy, prank)..... (✓) ()

Comment: _____

3. Kite.....

(✓) ()

Comment: _____

4. Fixed Structure

- a) Building..... (✓) ()
- b) Tower (water, power line, radio)..... (✓) ()

Comment: _____

5. Miscellaneous Lights

- a) Searchlight..... (✓) ()
- b) Vehicle..... (✓) ()
- c) Porch, Yard, Reflection..... (✓) ()

Comment: _____

6. Missile (launch, chemical cloud).....

(✓) ()

Comment: _____

7. Satellite

- a) Orbiting (high-altitude)..... (✓) ()
- b) Re-entry (similar to bolide)..... (✓) ()

Comment: _____

8. Other (

)..... () ()

Comment: _____