1. DATE - TIME GROUP 18 June 53 17/2345Z	**2. LOCATION** Iwo Jima
3. SOURCE Military	**10. CONCLUSION** ANOMALOUS PROPOGATION It is believed that target was caused by some type of interference (poss weather phenomena). The statement that target was large & fuzzy tends to indicate wea type target. Insufficient wea data. Radar experts concur with evaluation. 5 photos show effects of anomalous propogation.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	**11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS** AF Pilot on round-robin flight from Yokota AFB to Iwo Jima observed an unidentified radar target 15 miles from Iwo Jima traveling 600 knots on a 35 deg bearing. Pilot called Iwo Jima and was advised that no a/c were in area. On his return trip pilot observed same object 10 miles N and 20 miles N on radar screen. Pilot reported 100 miles N at 0006K (time) that object appeared to follow him and that it closed within 1 mile from him and then disappeared from radar scope.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Air-Intercept Radar	
7. COURSE North	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

[redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

AIR015
AFM DE AIR
AGA B62
AIR DE AGA
RR JEDWP ZOY
AIA B33
AGA DE AIA
JAPYC B23
RR JEDWP
DE JAPYC 38B

Mutti Classified ACTION 7/1? Iwo Jima 3. Atic 4. C. file

PARAPHASE NOT REQUIRED. SEE CRYPTO-CENTER BEFORE DECLASSIFYING

VM

FM COMDR FEAF TOKYO JAPAN
TO COMDR ATIC WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OHIO
[redacted]T/A 7146 IN-REQ CITE PROJECT BLUE BOOK PD REURAD IC 660 REQG MORE INFO CONCERNING SIGHTING OF UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT PD RADAR OBSR INTERROGATED GIVES FOLG INFO CLN LARGE FUZZY BLIP APPEARED AFT OF ACFT MVG IN AT HIGH RATE OF SPEED PD TGT THEN APPEARED NORMAL AND WAS TIMED AT 480 KNOTS PD APPROACH WAS FR RELATIVE TAIL BEARING OF 10 DEGREES AT 20 MILES CHANGING TO 6 DEGREES AND THE AK DEGREES AS THE PIP SLOWED WHEN IT CAME TO ONE HALF MILE RG PD SECOND RADAR SIGHTING FR 3 MILES IN FRONT OF B-29 AND DISAPPEARED AFTER MOVED TO ABOUT 15 MILES FRONT OF AP PD THIRD AND FINAL SIGHTING

PAGE TWO JAPYC 38B

FR TAIL POS SIMILAR TO FIRST SIGHTING CMA EXCEPT TRACK WAS STRAIGHT IN TO ONE HALF MILE RG FR ASTERN BEFORE DISAPPEARING PD DURING SIGHTING NEITHER IWO JIMANOR KITA IO SHIMA WAS VISIBLE ON RADAR SCOPE PD FIVE OTHER CREW MEMBERS CONFRD PRESENCE OF TGT ON RADAR OBSRS SCOPE PD RADAR SET APPEARED OPETATING SATISFACTORILY ALL TIMES DURING FLT PD SIGGTINGS WERE FOR MAX OF 3 1/2 MINUTES EA PD ALL CREW MEMBERS WERE ALERTED TO LOOK FOR UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT CMA BUT ONLY ONE SCANNER INDICATED HE MIGHT HAVZ SEEN TWO RED AND ONE WHITE LIGHTS FOR VERY SHORT PD PD WEA DURING SIGHTING WAS CLEAR W/LOWER SCATTERED CLOUDS PD COMNAVFE HAS BEEN QUERIED CONCERNING POS NAVY ACFT IN AREA AND INDICATES NEGATIVE TRAF PD USAF FORM 112 PRESENTLY BEING WRITTEN BY SIGHTING ORGN

Supplemental Action 7/3/53 1/B-29/JMA 53 /R

[redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

T53-7292-B

CENTRAL FILES
UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] 13:54

AIR 25
AGA B69
AIR DE AGA
RR JEDWP ZOY
AIA B71
AGA DE AIA
JAPYC B46
RR JEDWP
DE JAPYC 77B

PARAPHASE NOT REQUIRED. SEE CRYPTO-CENTER.
BEFORE DECLASSIFYING

FV COMDR FEAF TOKYO JAPAN
TO COM ATIC WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OHIO
[redacted]/A 7181 IN-REQ FIFTH AF DIDSUM NBR 417 DTD 27 JUN 53
PERTAINING TO F3D INCIDENT IS QUOTED VERBATUM FOR YOUR INFO PD QUOTE
PD AT 270133-I CMA XE 3533 AREA CMA AN F3D FLYING LEVEL AT 32000 FT
MADE A TAIL RADAR CONTACT WITH AN UNIDENTIFIED ACFT AT RG OF LESS
THAN 1 MILE PD SIMULTANEOUSLY CMA FRIENDLY RECD A FIRING PASS FR 1
UNIDENTIFIED ACFT FR 2 OCLOCK HIGH PD 30 RNDS OF 37 MM FIRE WAS DIR
AT FRIENDLY CMA NO TRACERS OBSRD DP FRIENDLY TURNED INTO HOSTILE AND
CONTACT WAS BROKEN PD SERVERAL ADD TAIL AND NOSE RADAR CONTACTS WERE
MADE BUT ALL WERE LOST THROUGH EVASIVE ACTION PD AT 0200-I CMA
ANOTHER F3D WAS IN A LEFT TURN WHEN IT SUSTAINED A FIRING PASS BY

AN UNIDENTIFIED ACFT FIRING WN ESTD 5 TO 10 RNDS OF 37 MM CANNON FIRE
CMA FR 7 OCLOCK HIGH PD CONTACT BROKEN WHEN FRIENDLY TOOK EVASIVE
ACTION PD D/I 5TH AF COMMENT CLN FRIENDLY PLTS REPTD THAT HOSTILE WAS
EMPLG A VERY DEFINITE PLAN IN HIS FIRING PASSES PD ENY WOULD FLY
AT AN EXTREMELY LOW ALT AND CLIMB UP FIRING AT FRIENDLIES WHEN IN RG
PD WHEN THE F-3DS ATTEMPTED TO TURN ABOUT AND PICK UP ENY ON THEIR
NOSE RADAR GEAR CMA HE WOULD IMMED DIP FOR THE DECK PD FRIENDLIES
ARE OF THE OPINION THAT THEY WERE FIRED UPON BY THE SAME J TYPE ENY
ACFT PD DURING THIS ACTION CMA GRD CONTROLLER WAS SEVERELY JAMMED
DURING THE TIME THEY WERE IN THE AREA PD NEG DAM WAS SUSTAINED PD
UNQUOTE PD ATLO COMMENT PD FOL UP BEING INITIATED MO ROOERMINE LOC
CMA NATURE OF JAMMING CMA FREQ OF OPERATING SYS OR SYSS CMA POSSIBILITY
OF OTHER LOCS ALSO BEING JAMMED

[redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

T-3-7[illegible]-4

[redacted]

AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE
OHIO

UNCLASSIFIED

In reply refer to
AFOIN-ATIAE-5

25 JUN 1953

SUBJECT: (Uncl) Radar Sighting of Unidentified Aerial Object

TO: Commander
Far East Air Forces
APO 925, c/o Postmaster
San Francisco, California

1. As was stated in our electrical message of 23 June 1953, inclosed are two Electronics Data Sheets to be completed by personnel involved in the 17 June 1953 radar sighting of an unidentified object. The object was sighted over Iwo Jima and was reported by a pilot on a round robin flight from Yokota Air Force Base.

2. In an attempt to gather all information on this sighting and to identify the source of the radar return, the Air Technical Intelligence Center has established the inclosed forms. If the pilot involved can be located, it is requested that you have him complete the subject form and return it as soon as possible to this Center.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Barbara P Hanawalt
BARBARA P. HANAWALT
1st. Lt., USAF

1 Incl
Electronics Data Sheets (2 cys)

COORDINATION:

ATIAE-5 — R.M. Olsson — Lt Olsson — Date 24 June 53

ATIAE — H.B. Johnston — Col Johnston — Date 24 June 53

ATIA — William K. Hoey — Col McDuffee — Date 24 June 53

UNCLASSIFIED

3760 [redacted]

[redacted]

DI (25 Jun 53) 2nd Ind

HEADQUARTERS, FEAF BOMBER COMMAND PROVISIONAL, APO 328

16 JUL 19[illegible]

TO: Commander, 421st Air Refueling Squadron, APO 328

Reference basic ATIC correspondence. Request attached forms be completed and submitted to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, as soon as possible.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

E. A. HESS
CWO USAF
Asst. Adj. Gen.

1 Incl
n/c

UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted]

[redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

Ltr, ATIC, W-P AFB, Ohio, file DI 452.26, Sugj: (Uncl) Radar Sighting of Unidentified Aerial Object, dtd 25 Jun 53

ARSQ (25 Jun 53) 3rd Ind

421ST AIR REFUELING SQUADRON, APO 328, 23 July 1953

TO: Comdr, FEAF Bomber Command Provisional, APO 328

Subject officer's TDY terminated on 8 July 1953 at which time he was returned to the 9th Air Refueling Squadron, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho.

Charles E. McCoy Jr

1 Incl
n/c

CHARLES E McCOY JR
Lt Col, USAF
Commander

DI 452 (25 Jun 53) 4th Ind 26 JUL 1953

HEADQUARTERS, FEAF BOMBER COMMAND PROVISIONAL, APO 328

TO: Commander, 9th Air Refueling Squadron, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

J. M. S.

1 Incls
n/c

cc; ATIC, W-P AFB

UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted]

04945

[redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

Ltr, ATIC, W-P AFB, Ohio, file DI 452.26, Subj: (Uncl) Radar Sighting of Unidentified Aerial Object, dtd 25 Jun 53

AG 452.26(25 Jun 53)IN-REQ 1st Ind

Headquarters, Far East Air Forces, APO 925 14 JUL 1953

TO: Commander, FEAF Bomber Command, Provisional, APO 328

Request attached forms be completed by sighting officer, Captain Peters, Detachment #4, 91st Bomb Wing, and be forwarded directly to Commander, Air Technical Intelligence Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, as soon as possible.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

L. J. KEATING,
Major, USAF
Asst. Adj. Gen.

1 Incl:
n/c

[redacted] UNCLASSIFIED

9/8 ATIG

Ltr, ATIC, W-P AFB, Ohio, file DI 452.26, Subj: (Uncl) Radar Sighting of Unidentified Aerial Object, dtd 25 Jun 53

9BW/ARS 452.26 (25 Jun 53) 5th Ind

9TH AIR REFUELING SQUADRON, M, 9th Bombardment Wing, M, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho, 2 September 1953

TO: Commander, Air Technical Intelligence Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

1. Returned for necessary acti on.

2. Subject officer was fully interviewed by FEAF Intelligence and 421st Air Refueling Squadron Intelligence officer. Necessary forms, true extracts of flight log, diagrams, etc, were completed at that time. The basis for said material remained in FEAF subsequent to officer's rotation to ZI and only such information as is entered on ATIC Form 332 is accurately available. Further queries should be referred to FEAF Intelligence Headquarters.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

George A. Peters

GEORGE A. PETERS
Captain, USAF
Asst Adjutant

1 Incl
n/c

[redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

ELECTRONICS DATA SHEET
(GROUND RADAR)

The purpose of this questionnaire is to provide technical data for evaluating the report of an unusual radar target or track. It is requested that it be completed as accurately as possible.

When not filled in, the form is Unclassified. The reporting officer will use his own judgement as to what degree of classification is required.

It is preferred that the answers to the questions be typewritten, however, if it will expedite the completion of the form, the answers may be printed in ink. If additional space is needed, use reverse side of form.

1. STATION OBSERVING TARGET:

Det #4, FEAF Bomber Command
Organization

APO 328, c/o PM, Calif
Location

2. DATE OF THIS REPORT:

28 Aug 53
Day Month Year

3. DATE TARGET OBSERVED:

Local Time ☐
Z Time ☐

____ ____ ____
Day Month Year

Time-Local ____ Time-Z ____

4. NAME, RANK AND ORGANIZATION OF REPORTING OFFICER:

George A Peters, Captain, USAF
9th Air Refueling Sq, Mt Home AFB, Idaho

5. EXACT LOCATION OF STATION (COORDINATES):

6. OBSERVER DATE (LIST EACH OBSERVER):

	Name	Rank	Duty	No. of Years Exp. in Radar
A.	Peters, George A	Capt	Radar Observer	4
B.	Askey, Russell E	Capt	Navigator	11
C.				
D.				
E.				

7. WAS A VISUAL SIGHTING MADE BY ANY PERSONNEL OF THE STATION? ☐ YES ☐ NO
IF SO, GIVE NAME AND RANK OF ALL PERSONNEL MAKING A VISUAL SIGHTING AND A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF WHAT THEY SAW:

19. ARE PERSONNEL FAMILIAR WITH THE EFFECTS CAUSED BY AN INTERFERING SIGNAL? ☒ YES ☐ NO
COMMENTS:

20. ARE PERSONNEL FAMILIAR WITH THE EFFECTS OF ANOMALOUS PROPAGATION (DUCTING EFFECTS) AS THEY PERTAIN TO THIS TYPE OF RADAR? ☒ YES ☐ NO

21. HAS ANOMALOUS PROPAGATION (DUCTING EFFECT) BEEN OBSERVED TO EXTEND THE RANGE OF THE GROUND CLUTTER OF THIS RADAR AT THIS SITE? ☐ YES ☒ NO
COMMENTS:

22. WAS ANOMALOUS PROPAGATION (DUCTING EFFECT) EXTENDING THE RANGE OF THE GROUND CLUTTER AT THE TIME THE TARGET WAS OBSERVED? ☐ YES ☒ NO
COMMENTS:

23. HOW DID THE TARGET APPEAR IN SIZE AND SHAPE AS COMPARED TO CONVENTIONAL AIRCRAFT TARGETS?
Same as jet fighters observed on other occasions.

24. PERFORMANCE OF TARGET:

a. REMAINED CONSISTENT IN SIZE	☐	CHANGED SIZE RAPIDLY	☒
b. SPEED WAS CONSTANT	☐	SPEED WAS VARIABLE	☒
c. FOLLOWED CONSISTENT TRACK	☒	APPEARED, DISAPPEARED, THEN REAPPEARED IN NEW LOCATION	☒
d. FUZZY COMPARED TO AIRCRAFT TARGET	☐	SHARP COMPARED TO KNOWN AIRCRAFT TARGET	☐
e. SAME AS AIRCRAFT TARGET	☒		

25. WERE OTHER TARGETS (KNOWN) OBSERVED IN THE SAME GENERAL AREA, AT APPROXIMATELY THE SAME TIME AND AT THE SAME ALTITUDE AS THE UNUSUAL TARGET? ☐ YES ☒ NO
IF SO, DESCRIBE.

26. WHAT TYPE INDICATORS ("A" SCOPE, "B" SCOPE, ETC.) WERE USED TO FOLLOW THE TARGET?
PPI
DESCRIBE THE SIGNAL: Rectangular, resolving to a round target as in decellerated.

27. WHAT WAS THE RADAR SCAN RATE? Sector Scan was not used

28. WHAT WAS THE FREQUENCY OF THE TRANSMITTER?

29. DID ANY OF THE OBSERVERS HAVE ANY OPINIONS AS TO THE NATURE OF THE TARGET? ☒ YES ☐ NO
IF YES, GIVE THEIR NAMES AND OPINIONS BELOW.
Major Edward Mays - Fighter - like target

31. USE THIS SHEET FOR ANY COMMENTS, OPINIONS OR ADDITIONAL DATA NOT COVERED BY THE QUESTIONS.

All questions not answered in this questionnaire were answered during interrogation by two FEAF Intelligence Officers, shortly after sighting was made. Diagram, such as section thirty were completed at that time.

ATIC FORM 333 (REVISED 25 NOV 1952)

ELECTRONICS DATA SHEET
(GROUND RADAR)

The purpose of this questionnaire is to provide technical data for evaluating the report of an unusual radar target or track. It is requested that it be completed as accurately as possible.

When not filled in, the form is Unclassified. The reporting officer will use his own judgement as to what degree of classification is required.

It is preferred that the answers to the questions be typewritten, however, if it will expedite the completion of the form, the answers may be printed in ink. If additional space is needed, use reverse side of form.

1. STATION OBSERVING TARGET:
Det. # 4, FEAF Bomber Command
Organization
APO 328, c/O P.M ., Calif.
Location

2. DATE OF THIS REPORT:
28 Aug 53
Day Month Year

3. DATE TARGET OBSERVED:
Local Time ☐
Z Time ☐
____ ____ ____
Day Month Year
Time-Local_____ Time-Z_____

4. NAME, RANK AND ORGANIZATION OF REPORTING OFFICER:
George A. Peters, Captain,USAF
9th Air Refueling S d., Mt. Home AFB, Idaho

5. EXACT LOCATION OF STATION (COORDINATES):

6. OBSERVER DATE (LIST EACH OBSERVER):

	Name	Rank	Duty	No. of Years Exp. in Radar
A.	Peters, George A.	Capt.	Radar Observer	4
B.	Askey, Russell E.	Capt.	Navigator	11
C.				
D.				
E.				

7. WAS A VISUAL SIGHTING MADE BY ANY PERSONNEL OF THE STATION? ☐ YES ☒ NO
IF SO, GIVE NAME AND RANK OF ALL PERSONNEL MAKING A VISUAL SIGHTING AND A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF WHAT THEY SAW:

8. HAVE YOU HEARD OF ANYONE NOT AT THE RADAR STATION MAKING A VISUAL SIGHTING AT APPROXIMATELY THE SAME TIME THE RADAR CONTACT WAS MADE? ☐ YES ☒ NO
IF SO, GIVE NAME AND ADDRESS.

9. RADAR SCOPE PHOTOS:
IS RADAR EQUIPPED WITH A SCOPE CAMERA? ☐ YES ☒ NO
WAS CAMERA OPERATIONAL? ☐ YES ☒ NO
WERE SCOPE PHOTOS TAKEN? ☐ YES ☒ NO
WERE PRINTS OF THE SCOPE PHOTOS FORWARDED TO THE AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER? ☐ YES ☒ NO

10. TRACK DATA:
WHAT WAS THE NUMBER OF THE TRACK? _____
WAS A PERMANENT PLOT MADE OF THE TRACK AT THE TIME OF THE OBSERVATION? ☒ YES ☐ NO

11. WERE AIRCRAFT SCRAMBLED TO INTERCEPT THE TARGET? ☐ YES ☒ NO
IF SO, WERE THE AIRCRAFT BEING OBSERVED ON THE SCOPE AT THE SAME TIME AS THE TARGET? ☐ YES ☐ NO

12. WERE ANY NEARBY RADAR INSTALLATIONS QUERIED WHETHER THEY HAD OBSERVED THE SAME TARGET OR TRACK? ☒ YES ☐ NO
IF SO, WHICH STATIONS? Iwo Jima GCA (Only available site)

13. WAS THE TARGET OBSERVED ON SEARCH RADAR? ☐ YES ☒ NO
IF SO, WHAT IS THE NOMENCLATURE OF THE EQUIPMENT? Equipment of GCA Not Capable

14. WAS THE TARGET OBSERVED ON HEIGHT FINDING RADAR? ☐ YES ☒ NO
IF SO, WHAT IS THE NOMENCLATURE OF THE EQUIPMENT? _____

15. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY RECENT MAINTENANCE DIFFICULTIES? ☐ YES ☒ NO
IF SO, DESCRIBE. _____

16. WHAT TYPE MODULATOR (I.E., SPARK GAP, HARD TUBE, ETC.) IS USED IN THE RADAR EQUIPMENT?

17. WAS THE AFC (AUTOMATIC FREQUENCY CONTROL) CIRCUIT OPERATING PROPERLY? ☒ YES ☐ NO
COMMENTS: _____

18. HAS INTERFERENCE FROM ANOTHER RADAR SET BEEN OBSERVED RECENTLY: ☐ YES ☒ NO
COMMENTS: No other aircraft in area

19. ARE PERSONNEL FAMILIAR WITH THE EFFECTS CAUSED BY AN INTERFERING SIGNAL? ☒ YES ☐ NO
COMMENTS: _____

20. ARE PERSONNEL FAMILIAR WITH THE EFFECTS OF ANOMALOUS PROPAGATION (DUCTING EFFECTS) AS THEY PERTAIN TO THIS TYPE OF RADAR? ☒ YES ☐ NO

21. HAS ANOMALOUS PROPAGATION (DUCTING EFFECT) BEEN OBSERVED TO EXTEND THE RANGE OF THE GROUND CLUTTER OF THIS RADAR AT THIS SITE? ☐ YES ☒ NO
COMMENTS: _____

22. WAS ANOMALOUS PROPAGATION (DUCTING EFFECT) EXTENDING THE RANGE OF THE GROUND CLUTTER AT THE TIME THE TARGET WAS OBSERVED? ☐ YES ☒ NO
COMMENTS: _____

23. HOW DID THE TARGET APPEAR IN SIZE AND SHAPE AS COMPARED TO CONVENTIONAL AIRCRAFT TARGETS?
Same as jet fighters observed on other occasions.

24. PERFORMANCE OF TARGET:

a. REMAINED CONSISTENT IN SIZE	☐	CHANGED SIZE RAPIDLY	☐
b. SPEED WAS CONSTANT	☐	SPEED WAS VARIABLE	☒
c. FOLLOWED CONSISTENT TRACK	☒	APPEARED, DISAPPEARED, THEN REAPPEARED IN NEW LOCATION	☐
d. FUZZY COMPARED TO AIRCRAFT TARGET	☐	SHARP COMPARED TO KNOWN AIRCRAFT TARGET	☐
e. SAME AS AIRCRAFT TARGET	☒		

25. WERE OTHER TARGETS (KNOWN) OBSERVED IN THE SAME GENERAL AREA, AT APPROXIMATELY THE SAME TIME AND AT THE SAME ALTITUDE AS THE UNUSUAL TARGET? ☐ YES ☒ NO
IF SO, DESCRIBE. _____

26. WHAT TYPE INDICATORS ("A" SCOPE, "B" SCOPE, ETC.) WERE USED TO FOLLOW THE TARGET?
PPI
DESCRIBE THE SIGNAL: Rectangular, resolving to a round target as it decellerated.

27. WHAT WAS THE RADAR SCAN RATE? Sector Scan was not :ded

28. WHAT WAS THE FREQUENCY OF THE TRANSMITTER?

29. DID ANY OF THE OBSERVERS HAVE ANY OPINIONS AS TO THE NATURE OF THE TARGET? ☒ YES ☐ NO
IF YES, GIVE THEIR NAMES AND OPINIONS BELOW.
Major Edward Mays--Fighter-like target

31. USE THIS SHEET FOR ANY COMMENTS, OPINIONS OR ADDITIONAL DATA NOT COVERED BY THE QUESTIONS.

All questions not answered in this questionnaire were answered during interrogation by two FEAF Intelligence Officers, shortly after sighting was was made. Diagram, such as section thirty were completed at that time.

This case includes twenty seven (27) 8"x10" photographs & four (4) negatives.

11 September 1953

Not enough data for analysis purposes. Data mentioned in 5th indorsement might help some, but probably not enough.

Message AIR∅15 includes statement that radar target was large and fuzzy. This tends to indicate a weather-type target.

Weather data would be useful in making any further analysis.

R. L. James

NOTE: No weather information available to ATIC.

Wx info. indicates inversion conditions
see 2nd.

[redacted]

ACTION

UNCLASSIFIED

5 Attys
3 Actg
1 Co. files

AIR 26
AFM DE AIR
PP JEDWP
V
PP JEDWP ZOY
AGA B58
AIR DE AGA
PP AIR ZVA
PP JEPHQ JEDEN JEDWP ZOY
AIA B55
AGA DE AIA
JAPYC B36
PP JEPHQ JEDEN JEDWP 333
DE JAPYC ATPB

FM CG FEAF TOKYO JAPAN
TO JEPHQ/HQ USAF WASHDC
INFO JEDEN/CG ADC ENT AFB COLO
JEDWP/CG ATIC WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OHIO
[redacted]AXS824 IN-REQ ATTN CLN DIR OF INT PD FOLG MSG RECD FR FEALOGFOR QUOTED FOR YOUR INFO CLN QUOTE FOLG MSG RECD FR 5415TH AB SQ CMA IWO JIMA CMA QUOTED FORINFO CLN QUOTE FOLG VOICE MSG RECD FR ACFT OVER FLYING THIS STA FWDD FYI AND ACTION PD AT 2345K ON 17 JUN 53 NO DOMATE 836 PILOT WAS SEWARD ON RCUND ROBIN FLIGHT FRM YOKOTA AFB ADV THIS STA HE HAD UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT ON RADAR PD REQ TRAF REPT THIS STA PD WAS ADV OF NEG TRAF IN THIS AREA PD ACFT REPTD UIDENTIFIED OBJECT ON RADAR 15 MILES NORTH THIS STA ON 35 DEG BEARING FR THIS STA PD ACFT ADV THAT OBJECT WAS TRAVELING AT A SPEED OF 600 KNS OR MORE ESTIMATED/ AN UNDETERMINED ALT PD ON

PARAPHASE NOT REQUIRED. SEE CRYPTO-CENTER BEFORE DECLASSIFYING

VM

PAGE TWO JAPYC 90B

RETURN FIGHT ACFT(CITED) SAME OBJECT 10 MILES NORTH AND 20 MILES NORTH PD NO VISUAL CONTACT ONLY RADAR PD ACFT REPTD 100 MILE NORTH AT 0006K PD ADVD OBJECT APPEARED TO BE FOLG AND CLOSED TO WITHIN 1 MILE AND DISAPPEARED FR RADAR SCOPE PD NO FURTHER INFO RECD AFTER 0010K PD NEG PICKUP ON GCA RADAR AT THIS STA PD UNQUOTE

TWX
1) Send AF Form 112
2). 2 Extra Data Sheets

[redacted]

SWM

00:01 22 JUN 1953 UNCLASSIFIED

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS
DOD DIR 5200.10

T53-7292-1

ROUTING

JOINT MESSAGEFORM

COMMUNICATIONS CENTER NO.

SPACE ABOVE FOR COMMUNICATIONS CENTER ONLY

FROM: (Originator)

COMMANDER ATIC

TO: CG FEAF TOKYO JAPAN

INFO:

DATE-TIME GROUP: 232000Z JUNE 53 | SE[redacted]

PRECEDENCE FOR: ACTION: ROUTINE | INFORMATION

☐ BOOK MESSAGE | ☐ ORIGINAL MESSAGE

☐ MULTIPLE ADDRESS | CRYPTOPRECAUTION ☐ YES ☒ NO

REFERS TO MESSAGE:

IDENTIFICATION: AX 6824 | CLASSIFICATION: [redacted]

UNCLASSIFIED

ATIAE-5 File

FROM: APOIR-ATIAE-5

Reference your FLYOSRFT AX 6824 regarding sighting of unidentified object on 17 June 53, notification of sighting was received from 6415th AB Sq, Iwo Jima, by your Hq. Information contained in TWX to ATIC insufficient to allow evaluation. Request you complete AF Form 112 as per AFL 200-5. Under separate cover you will receive two Electronics Data Sheets to be completed by radar personnel involved.

In reply cite Project Blue Book.

COORDINATION:

ATIAE-5 Lt Olsbch Date

ATIAE Col Johnston Date,

ATIA Col McDuffee Date

T53-7292-A UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY INFORMATION | SEC[redacted] | PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

DRAFTER'S NAME (and signature, when required): LT R.M. OLSBCH/vm

RELEASING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE

SYMBOL: ATIAE-5 | TELEPHONE: 65363

OFFICIAL TITLE: ROBERT C. IRICH, MAJOR, USAF, AIR ADJUTANT GENERAL

DD FORM 1 OCT 49 173 REPLACES NME FORM 173, 1 MAY 48, WHICH MAY BE USED.

16—39023-8 ★ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

DD ROUTING SLIP

NEVER USE FOR APPROVALS, DISAPPROVALS, CONCURRENCES, OR SIMILAR ACTIONS

1 NAME OR TITLE	INITIALS		CIRCULATE
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION	DATE		COORDINATION
2			FILE
			INFORMATION
3			NECESSARY ACTION
			NOTE AND RETURN
4			SEE ME
			SIGNATURE

REMARKS

In our opinion these photos show effects of anomalous propagation

B K Griffing

FROM NAME OR TITLE	DATE
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION	TELEPHONE

DD FORM 1 FEB 50 95 Replaces DA AGO Form 895, 1 Apr 48, and AFHQ Form 12, 10 Nov 47, which may be used.

☆ GPO: 1950—O-403461