PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 6 February 66 06/1145Z	2. LOCATION Nederland, Texas
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION UNIDENTIFIED
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 - 10 Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS SEE CASE FILE
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE West	
8. PHOTOS ☐ Yes XX No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes XX No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

unidentified

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS (UFO)
INFORMATION SHEET

1. Answers to the following questions will be obtained from persons calling in regard to the observance of an unidentified flying object (UFO). If person refuses to give answers to 3a, do not complete report.

2. Complete Information Sheet in duplicate and forward to BDO.

3.a. Name KENNETH R. GULLEY. Address 619 S. 17th ST, NEDERLAND TEX.
Phone RA2-0728 Age 34 Occupation Appliance salesman - Sears.

b. Shape of Object (SEE INVESTIGATION RPT) c. Color BLACK OR DARK COLOR

d. Size (compare to dime, quarter, baseball, basketball, or larger item)
APPRX 8' e. Number of Objects 1

f. Formation, if more than one N/A.

g. Unusual features of object

h. Was there a tail, vapor trail, or exhaust? NO i. Any Sound NO

j. What called attention to the observer (see investigation rpt. attached)

k. What angle and elevation was the object on first observance
overhead.

l. What angle and elevation was the object when disappeared
20-25°

m. What was the flight path of object (to north or south, to east or west) and were there any maneuvers of the object see investigation rpt. attached

n. How did the object disappear (instantly, to the north, no direction, etc.)
see investigation.

o. How long was the object visible (5 min, 1 hour, etc.) 5-10 min.

p. How was the object observed (naked eye, radar, telescope, binoculars, etc.)
Naked eye

q. Time and date of sighting 0545 6 FEB 66 (SUN)

r. Light conditions (night, day, dusk) night

s. Geographical position of object (North of Houston, South of Pasadena, directly overhead, etc.) Nederland, Texas.

t. Weather (clear day, hazy, cloudy, broken clouds, etc.) high overcast - no stars.

u. Temperatures of day (80°, 90°, etc) 19°

v. OTHER INFORMATION not answered above:
see investigation attached

5. Thank caller for information, but do not promise that a return call will be made to confirm nor deny the observance of the object.

(243)

MEMO ROUTING SLIP	Never Use for Approvals, Disapprovals, Concurrences, or Similar Actions	ACTION: X
1 TO: Major Leach 2576th Air Base Squadron Operations and Training Ellington AFB, Texas	INITIALS	CIRCULATE
	DATE	COORDINATION
		FILE
		INFORMATION
3		NOTE AND RETURN
	X	PER CONVERSATION
4		SEE ME
		SIGNATURE

REMARKS

Per conversation 1st Lt William F. Marley, Jr, PTD (TDEW/UFO), 7 June 1966.

FROM: PTD (TDEW/UFO) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	DATE: 7 Jun 66
	PHONE: 25-70916/76618

DD FORM 95 Replaces DD Form 96 1 Feb 51, and DD Form 96, 1 Feb 51, which will be used until exhausted.

619-So 17th St.
Nederland, Texas
May 16, 1966

The Pentagon,
Department of U. F. O.
U. S. Air Force
Arlington, Va.

Dear Sirs;

I have hesitated, to write to you offices for several months; However, I have decided that I must do so, because the information, that I have may be of some value to your research.

Although, the information is several months past due, it may still be of value.

The reason, I have hesitated to contact your office is because of all the bad publicity that a person seems to get when he does contact you.

Let me state, that I do not wish to receive any public notice and wish to remain anonymous on this matter.

If you have a representative, who will be in this area and who can call on me without much expense to your office: I will be most happy to talk with him.

My regular day off from work is Wednesday and Sundays, please notify me ahead of time.

I have discussed this matter only with two men, and at the time of sighting. One, a prominant manager in our area, and the other my pastor.

I hope that this letter will not be received in any other manner, than that for which it is intended.

Respectfully,
Kenneth R. Gulley
619-So. 17th. St.
Nederland, Texas

22 June 1966

INVESTIGATION:

I contacted Mr. Kenneth R. Gulley of Nederland, Texas, by telephone (RA2-0728) this date to discuss the UFO which he allegedly saw at 0545 hours, 6 Feb 66. The following is a description of the events as they happened and as described by Mr. Gulley to the best of his recollection.

On Sunday morning, 6 February 1966, at approximately 0545, Mr. Gulley's 11 year old son came through their bedroom on the way to the bathroom and turned the light on in the bathroom and in so doing awakened his parents. While the boy was in the bathroom the lights went out causing Mr. Gulley to get out of bed and look out the window to see if the street lights were also out which appeared to be the case. While looking out the window Mr. Gulley was amazed to find that the lawn in front of his house and the surrounding area was engulfed in a reddish glow which appeared to be pulsating similar to a red flashing light on a police car. However he did not see any police cars in the area. A few moments later he noticed some peculiar yellowish-reddish lights coming from an object approximately 500 feet above the ground. The lights seemed to be moving back and forth in a horizontal direction and appeared to be similar to neon light tubes which he estimated to be eight in number. There was no apparent sound; however, both Mr. Gulley and his wife claimed that their ears were bothered by what seemed to be a very high frequency pitch. There was a high overcast with no stars or moon on that night. Therefore it was quite dark and Mr. Gulley was unable to determine the size and shape of the object at this time. However, the object traveled approximately a mile and a half to the west towards the Jefferson County Airport. About this same time an aircraft took off from the airport and turned in the direction of the unknown object. As the aircraft approached the object it ceased to move, remaining in a hovering position, and the lights on the object went out. The landing lights on the aircraft remained on and reflected off the UFO giving Mr. Gulley an opportunity to see what it looked like. He stated that the best way to describe the shape of the object was that it looked like a tadpole. He estimated the object was about 8 feet in diameter with a tail about 6 feet in length and 2 feet wide. There appeared to be a cone shaped bulge on the top and in the middle of the object. The previously mentioned lights seemed to be coming from the tail of the object. After the aircraft had flown over the object its lights came on again and he noticed what appeared to be three separate times when these lights were energized, each time the object appeared to move up and about 5° to the left. It disappeared at about a 20 to 25° angle above the horizon traveling in a westerly direction and in a slow rate of climb. Mr. Gulley observed all of this with his naked eye and was unable to get any pictures.

Although Mr. Gulley was very fearful of ridicule from the local populace he nevertheless had an opportunity to discuss the power failure with a local man from the power company and determined that the reason for the power outage was due to the failure of a transformer. He further found out that this transformer was located very near to his house and that the failure occurred

almost at the same time as the sighting of the UFO.

Mr. Gulley found it very difficult to describe the UFO and admitted that he was not very good at drawing pictures but if the Air Force felt that it was necessary he probably could describe it well enough to an artist so that a fairly representative picture could be drawn.

Mr. Gulley was unaware of any other residents seeing this UFO but attributed this to the fact that he was very hesitant in mentioning it to anyone since he definitely does not want any publicity on the sighting. However, after the sighting he did call the control tower at the Jefferson County Airport to determine if they had seen it also but found that they had not seen anything and laughed at his explanation and request.

CONCLUSION:

This preliminary investigation did not disclose any feasible explanation for Mr. Gulley's UFO sighting. A more detailed investigation and a personal visit will be accomplished if requested from your headquarters.

Contacted Maj Hack about possible balloon.