



fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>

MUFON & MADAR: Observational Evidence & MADAR Anomalies

1 message

fran ridge <franridge42@gmail.com>
To: Phil Leech <pleech1517@yahoo.com>

Mon, May 21, 2018 at 3:09 PM

In the early days of radar there were a lot of "uncorrelated targets." One of the main sources of information on aircraft overflights was the filing of flight plans. And as transponders were introduced into the system target identification became much easier. Today we benefit by and live with a very safe method of travel all over the world.

MADAR, although it is different than RADAR, also requires an intelligence data system to work. If MADAR detects an anomaly and alerts the operator, but reports of UAP are not available, these anomalies remain on the books as uncorrelated targets. Early MADAR was a single detection system but it had a three-state database of current UAO activity for corroboration. Today's MADAR has dozens of detection sites and plans are to have a hundred online by end of year.

A method or system to match up MADAR "hits" with sighting activity is what is needed. It was originally suggested that MADAR state ops should select or solicit "ufo officers" to monitor the MUFON Case Management files. Selected cases would then be submitted to NUFORC to be added to their chronological database along with the screened MADAR anomalies, to highlight correlations. But this was still require a lot of work. There may be a better way.

Enriching the data in the raw database of NUFORC is only useful in states where MADAR currently has MADAR-III DataProbes, but as they come online this will change. Although this still requires cooperation with state directors, trust, and some good judgement, the suggestion could work and work very well.

The MADAR Operations Center at Newburgh, Indiana, will maintain and update a MADAR Anomaly "hit list" based on certain guidelines and filters. Periodically, we would send the list under a subject heading similar to MADAR Uncorrelated Targets, to Phillip Leech, our MADAR UFO Officer at Marian, Indiana. He in turn would send the list to all state directors or other officials involved in the project with MUFON. If any "hits" matched up with ANY sightings, these sightings would be selected out and sent out to Mr. Leech to see what classification level they are at.

Simply put, all sightings that match anomaly dates in the same state should be reported to Mr. Leech, regardless of classification as soon as possible. MADAR matches validate the sighting data and sighting data justifies the MADAR data. The release of any and all information TO MADAR would be at the discretion of MUFON and Mr. Leech. MUFON should investigate these sightings.

The guidelines probably should include:

- 1) Sightings of Interest under the 90-day rule should be kept confidential as far as the public is concerned and MADAR OC would honor this agreement, but should still be advised of the match.
- 2) Correlations would require special handling in that follow-up investigations should be conducted by MUFON while the information is fresh..
- 3) Sightings outside the 90-day rule could be shared as soon as possible.
- 4) Sightings would include ALL types of UAO activity, including NL and DD (distant) and CE (close encounters).
- 5) High-strangeness cases, close encounters, would be significant even if occurring within days of an anomaly since UFO operations may be more complex.
- 6) High priority would be placed on special evidence, especially security camera footage but classification would be set by MUFON.
- 7) High priority would be placed on sightings near missile fields where MADAR is located.
- 8) Matches of anomalies and potential abductions would be handled under different, but less stringent guidelines as CE-IVs are usually ongoing.

These and other deemed protocols may be put into place as needed and agreed on, but basic matches between UAP sightings and MADAR anomalies should be given top priority.

Fran Ridge

